arXiv:1611.07606v1 [math.AP] 23 Nov 2016

# On the global solution problem of semilinear generalized Tricomi equations, II

He, Daoyin[1,2*]; Witt, Ingo[2]; Yin, Huicheng[3,*]

1. Department of Mathematics and IMS, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, China.
2. Mathematical Institute, University of Göttingen, Bunsenstr. 3-5, D-37073 Göttingen, Germany.
3. School of Mathematical Sciences, Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing 210023, China.

**Abstract**

This paper is a continuation of our recent work in [9]. In [9], for the semilinear generalized Tricomi equation $\partial_t^2 u - t^m \Delta u = |u|^p$ with initial data $\big(u(0,x), \partial_t u(0,x)\big) = \big(u_0(x), u_1(x)\big)$, $t \geq 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ $(n \geq 3)$, $p > 1$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have shown that there exists a critical exponent $p_{crit}(m,n) > 1$ such that the solution $u$ generally blows up in finite time when $1 < p < p_{crit}(m,n)$; and meanwhile there exists a conformal exponent $p_{conf}(m,n)$ $\big(> p_{crit}(m,n)\big)$ such that the solution $u$ exists globally when $p \geq p_{conf}(m,n)$ provided that $(u_0(x), u_1(x))$ are small. In the present paper, we shall prove that the small data solution $u$ of $\partial_t^2 u - t^m \Delta u = |u|^p$ exists globally when $p_{crit}(m,n) < p < p_{conf}(m,n)$. Therefore, collecting the results in this paper and [9], we have given a basically systematic study on the blowup or global existence of small data solution $u$ to the equation $\partial_t^2 u - t^m \Delta u = |u|^p$ for $n \geq 3$.

**Keywords:** Generalized Tricomi equation, Fourier integral operator, global existence, weighted Strichartz estimate

**Mathematical Subject Classification 2000:** 35L70, 35L65, 35L67

## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we continue to study the global Cauchy problem for the following semilinear generalized Tricomi equation:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^2 u - t^m \Delta u = |u|^p, \\ u(0,x) = \varepsilon u_0(x), \quad \partial_t u(0,x) = \varepsilon u_1(x), \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

*Daoyin He (daoyin.He@mathematik.uni-goettingen.de) and Huicheng Yin (huicheng@nju.edu.cn, 05407@njnu.edu.cn) are supported by the NSFC (No. 11571177), and by the Priority Academic Program Development of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions. Ingo Witt (iwitt@uni-math.gwdg.de) was partly supported by the DFG via the Sino-German project "Analysis of PDEs and application".

where $t \geq 0$, $x = (x_1, ..., x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ $(n \geq 3)$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $p > 1$, $\varepsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small, and $u_i(x) \in C_0^\infty\big(B(0, M-1)\big)$ $(i = 0, 1)$ with $B(0, M-1) = \{x : |x| = \sqrt{x_1^2 + ... + x_n^2} < M-1\}$ and $M > 1$. For the local existence and regularity of solution $u$ to problem (1.1) under weaker regularity assumptions on $(u_0, u_1)$, the reader may consult [19]-[22], [29] and the references therein; here we shall not discuss this problem. Since the local existence of solution $u$ to (1.1) with minimal regularities has been established in [22], without loss of generality, as in [9] we only focus on the global solution problem of (1.1) starting from some positive time $T_0 > 0$. Therefore, it is plausible that one utilizes the nonlinear function $F_p(t, u) = \big(1 - \chi(t)\big)F_p(u) + \chi(t)|u|^p$ instead of $|u|^p$ in (1.1), where $F_p(u)$ is a $C^\infty-$smooth function with $F_p(0) = 0$ and $|F_p(u)| \leq C(1+|u|)^{p-1}|u|$, and $\chi(s) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ with $\chi(s) = \begin{cases} 1, & s \geq T_0, \\ 0, & s \leq T_0/2. \end{cases}$ Correspondingly, we shall study the following problem instead of (1.1)

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^2 u - t^m \Delta u = F_p(t, u), \\ u(0, x) = \varepsilon u_0(x), \quad \partial_t u(0, x) = \varepsilon u_1(x). \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

In [9], we have determined a critical exponent $p_{crit}(m, n)$ and a conformal exponent $p_{conf}(m, n)$ $\big(> p_{crit}(m, n)\big)$ for (1.1) or (1.2) as follows: $p_{crit}(m, n)$ is the positive root of the algebraic equation

$$\Big((m+2)\frac{n}{2} - 1\Big)p^2 + \Big((m+2)(1 - \frac{n}{2}) - 3\Big)p - (m+2) = 0,$$

and $p_{conf}(m, n) = \frac{(m+2)n+6}{(m+2)n-2}$. Subsequently it is shown that the solution $u$ of (1.1) or (1.2) generally blows up in finite time when $1 < p < p_{crit}(m, n)$, and meanwhile $u$ exists globally when $p \geq p_{conf}(m, n)$ for small $\varepsilon > 0$. In the present paper, we shall prove that the small data solution $u$ of (1.2) exists globally when $p_{crit}(m, n) < p < p_{conf}(m, n)$. Therefore, collecting these results, we have given a basically systematic study on the blowup or global existence of small data solution $u$ to problem (1.2) for $n \geq 3$. The main result in the paper is:

**Theorem 1.1.** *For $p \in \big(p_{crit}(m, n), p_{conf}(m, n)\big)$ and small $\varepsilon > 0$, problem* (1.2) *has a global solution $u$ such that*

$$\big(1 + \big|\phi(t)^2 - |x|^2\big|\big)^\gamma u \in L^{p+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}), \tag{1.3}$$

*where $\phi(t) = \frac{2}{m+2}t^{\frac{m+2}{2}}$, and the positive constant $\gamma$ fulfills*

$$\frac{1}{p(p+1)} < \gamma < \frac{\big((m+2)n - 2\big)p - \big((m+2)n + 2\big)}{2(m+2)(p+1)} + \frac{m}{(m+2)(p+1)}. \tag{1.4}$$

**Remark 1.1.** *Note that for $p > p_{crit}(m, n)$, one easily has*

$$\frac{1}{p(p+1)} < \frac{\big((m+2)n - 2\big)p - \big((m+2)n + 2\big)}{2(m+2)(p+1)} + \frac{m}{(m+2)(p+1)}.$$

*This implies that condition* (1.4) *makes sense.*

**Remark 1.2.** *If we take* $m = 0$ *in* (1.1)*, then problem* (1.1) *becomes*

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^2 u - \Delta u = |u|^p, \\ u(0,x) = \varepsilon u_0(x), \quad \partial_t u(0,x) = \varepsilon u_1(x). \end{cases} \tag{1.5}$$

*Let* $p_1(n)$ *denote the positive root of the quadratic equation*

$$(n-1)\,p^2 - (n+1)\,p - 2 = 0. \tag{1.6}$$

*W.Strauss [24] made the following* **conjecture:** *If* $p > p_1(n)$*, then the small data solution* $u$ *of* (1.5) *exists globally. If* $1 < p < p_1(n)$*, then the solution* $u$ *of* (1.5) *generally blows up in finite time.*

*So far, Strauss' conjecture has basically been solved. For examples, when* $1 < p \leq p_1(n)$ *and the initial data* $(u_0, u_1)$ *are non-negative, blowup for the solution* $u$ *of* (1.5) *has been shown, while, for* $p > p_1(n)$ *and some other restrictions on the power* $p$*, global existence of small data solution* $u$ *of* (1.5) *has also been established (see [5, 6, 7, 11, 13, 23, 24, 32, 33] and the references therein).*

**Remark 1.3.** *For the cases of* $n = 1, 2$ *or* $p = p_{crit}(m,n)$ *with* $n \geq 3$ *in problem* (1.1)*, we shall study the problem of blowup or global existence of small data solution* $u$ *in our forthcoming paper [10].*

**Remark 1.4.** *If* $m = 0$ *is chosen in problem* (1.5)*, then the estimates in Theorem 1.1 are coincident with the ones in Theorem 1.1 of [7].*

**Remark 1.5.** *As pointed out in [3], [9] and so on, for large* $t > 0$*,* (1.1) *is actually equivalent to the following problem*

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^2 u - \Delta u + \dfrac{\mu_m}{1+t}\partial_t u = (1+t)^{-\alpha_m}|u|^p, \\ u(0,x) = \varepsilon u_0(x), \quad \partial_t u(0,x) = \varepsilon u_1(x), \end{cases} \tag{1.7}$$

*where* $\mu_m = m/(m+2)$ *and* $\alpha_m = 2m/(m+2)$*. With respect to the problem*

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^2 u - \Delta u + \dfrac{\mu}{1+t}\,\partial_t u = |u|^p, \\ u(0,x) = u_0(x), \quad \partial_t u(0,x) = u_1(x), \end{cases} \tag{1.8}$$

*where* $\mu > 0$*,* $p > 1$*,* $n \geq 1$*, and* $u_i \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *(*$i = 0, 1$*), so far it has still been an interesting open problem how to determine explicitly a critical value* $p_c(\mu, n)$ *for* $\mu \approx 1$ *so that problem* (1.8) *has a global small data solution* $u$ *for* $p > p_c(\mu, n)$*, while solution* $u$ *of* (1.8) *generally blows up in finite time when* $1 < p \leq p_c(\mu, n)$ *(see [2, 3, 12, 18, 26, 27]). Motivated by the techniques in [9] and this paper, we shall systematically study problem* (1.8) *for* $1/3 \leq \mu < 1$ *and* $p > 1$ *in the future.*

**Remark 1.6.** *For the semilinear generalized Tricomi equation* $\partial_t^2 u - t^m \Delta u = f(t,x,u)$ *with* $m \in \mathbb{N}$ *and* $x = (x_1, \cdots, x_n)$*, and for some certain assumptions on the function* $f(t,x,u)$*, the authors in [8] and [14]-[17] have obtained some remarkable results on the existence and uniqueness of solution* $u$ *in* **bounded domains** *under Tricomi, Goursat or Dirichlet boundary conditions respectively in the mixed type case, in the degenerate hyperbolic setting or in the degenerate elliptic setting.*

**Remark 1.7.** *Consider a related semilinear problem to* (1.1) *as follows*

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^2 u - t|t|^{\mu-1}\Delta u + u|u|^{p-1} = 0, \\ u(0,x) = \varepsilon u_0(x), \quad \partial_t u(0,x) = \varepsilon u_1(x), \end{cases} \tag{1.9}$$

*where* $t \geq 0$, $x = (x_1, ..., x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ $(n \geq 3)$, $\mu > 0$, $p > 1$, *and* $u_i(x) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. *The authors in [16] have dealt with the energy estimates of classical solution* $u$ *when the exponent p is critical or supercritical (i.e.,* $p \geq 2n^*/(n^* - 2)$, *where* $n^* = n(\mu + 2)/2$ *is the homogeneous dimension of the operator* $\partial_t^2 - t|t|^{\mu-1}\Delta$*). However, by our knowledge, so far there are no systematic results on the global existence or uniqueness of solution* $u$ *to problem* (1.9).

Let's recall some known results on problem (1.1) or (1.2). Under the restricted conditions

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{(n+1)(p-1)}{p+1} \leq \dfrac{m}{m+2}, \\ \left(\dfrac{2}{p-1} - \dfrac{n(m+2)}{2(p+1)}\right) p \leq 1, \\ \dfrac{2(p+1)}{p(p-1)n(m+2)} \leq \dfrac{1}{p+1} \leq \dfrac{m+4}{(n+1)(p-1)(m+2)} \end{cases} \tag{1.10}$$

(corresponding to (1.8) and (1.12) of [29] with $k = m/2$, $\alpha = p - 1$ and $\beta = \frac{2}{p-1} - \frac{n(k+1)}{p+1}$) it was shown in [29, Theorem 1.2] that problem (1.1) has a global small data solution $u \in C([0,\infty), L^{p+1}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap C^1([0,\infty), \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n))$. On the other hand, under the conditions $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_1(x)\mathrm{d}x > 0$ and

$$1 < p < \frac{(m+2)n+2}{(m+2)n-2}, \tag{1.11}$$

it was shown in [29, Theorem 1.3] that (1.1) has no global solution $u \in C([0,\infty), L^{p+1}(\mathbb{R}^n))$. Here we point out that (1.11) comes from condition (1.15) of [29]. As emphasized in [9], with respect to the blowup or global existence of solution $u$ to problem (1.1), there are some gaps for the scope of power $p$ in [29]. In this and the previous paper [9], motivated by the Strauss conjecture and [7], [13], we shall systematically study problem (1.1) or (1.2) for $n \geq 3$.

There are extensive results concerning the Cauchy problem for both linear and semilinear generalized Tricomi equations. For instance, for the linear generalized Tricomi equation, the authors in [1], [28] and [30] have computed its fundamental solution explicitly. More recently, the authors in [19, 20, 21, 22] established the local existence as well as the singularity structure of low regularity solutions to the semilinear equation $\partial_t^2 u - t^m \triangle u = f(t,x,u)$ in the degenerate hyperbolic region and the elliptic-hyperbolic mixed region, respectively, where $f$ is a $C^1$ function and has compact support with respect to the variable $x$. By establishing some classes of $L^p$-$L^q$ estimates for the solution $v$ of linear equation $\partial_t^2 v - t^m \triangle v = F(t,x)$, the author in [29] obtained a series of interesting results about the global existence or the blowup of solutions to problem (1.1) when the exponent $p$ belongs to a certain range, however, there was a gap between the global existence interval and the blowup interval; moreover, the critical exponent $p_{crit}(m,n)$ was not determined there.

We now comment on the proof of Theorem 1.1. To prove Theorem 1.1, motivated by [7, 13], where some basic weighted Strichartz estimates with the weight $1 + |t^2 - |x|^2|$ were obtained for

the linear wave operator $\partial_t^2-\triangle$, we require to establish some Strichartz estimates with the characteristic weight $(\phi(t)+M)^2-|x|^2$ (here and below $\phi(t)\equiv 2/(m+2)t^{\frac{m+2}{2}}$) for the generalized Tricomi operator $\partial_t^2-t^m\Delta$. Here we point out that in order to derive the weighted Strichartz estimates for $\partial_t^2-\triangle$, the authors in [7] utilize the crucial conformal transformation method to change the characteristic cone $\{(t,x):t>0,|x|<t\}$ into the half cone $\{(t,x):t>0,|x|<\frac{t}{2}\}$ meanwhile the wave operator $\partial_t^2-\triangle$ keeps invariant (in this case, the related weight $1+|t^2-|x|^2|$ is equivalent to $1+t^2$ for large $t>0$). However, it seems that this conformal transformation method used in [7] is not available for us since it is difficult to find a suitable conformal transformation to let the characteristic cusp cone $\{(t,x):t>0,|x|<\phi(t)\}$ become the half cusp cone $\{(t,x):t>0,|x|<\frac{\phi(t)}{2}\}$ and simultaneously keep the generalized Tricomi operator $\partial_t^2-t^m\Delta$ invariant. Thanks to some involved analysis and careful observations, we are able to overcome the technical difficulties related to degeneracy and eventually establish the expected weighted Strichartz estimates for problem (1.2). In addition, some elementary but important estimates used in §4 are put in the appendix.

In Section 2, the following linear problem is studied

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^2 v-t^m\triangle v=0,\\ v(0,x)=f(x),\quad \partial_t v(0,x)=g(x),\end{cases}$$

where $f,g\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, supp $(f,g)\subseteq\{x:|x|\le M-1\}$ for some fixed constant $M>1$. By introducing certain Fourier integral operators associated to $\partial_t^2v-t^m\triangle$ and taking delicate analysis, we establish Strichartz-type estimates of the form

$$\big\|\big((\phi(t)+M)^2-|x|^2\big)^\gamma v\big\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^{1+n}_+)}\le C(\parallel f\parallel_{W^{\frac{n}{2}+\frac{1}{m+2}+\delta,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}+\parallel g\parallel_{W^{\frac{n}{2}-\frac{1}{m+2}+\delta,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}),\tag{1.12}$$

where $q>\frac{2((m+2)n-m)}{(m+2)n-2}$, $0<\gamma<\frac{(m+2)n-2}{2(m+2)}-\frac{(m+2)n-m}{(m+2)q}$, and $0<\delta<\frac{n}{2}+\frac{1}{m+2}-\gamma-\frac{1}{q}$.

In Section 3- Section 5, we focus on the study of the problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^2 w-t^m\triangle w=F(t,x),\\ w(0,x)=0,\quad \partial_t w(0,x)=0,\end{cases}$$

where $F(t,x)\equiv 0$ when $|x|>\phi(t)+M-1$ and $F(t,x)\in C^\infty([0,T_0]\times\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some fixed number $T_0>0$ ($T_0<1$). We eventually establish

$$\Big\|\Big(\big(\phi(t)+M\big)^2-|x|^2\Big)^{\gamma_1}w\Big\|_{L^q([\frac{T_0}{2},\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\le C\Big\|\Big(\big(\phi(t)+M\big)^2-|x|^2\Big)^{\gamma_2}F\Big\|_{L^{\frac{q}{q-1}}([\frac{T_0}{2},\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^n)},\tag{1.13}$$

where the constants $\gamma_1$ and $\gamma_2$ satisfy $0<\gamma_1<\frac{(m+2)n-2}{2(m+2)}-\frac{(m+2)n-m}{(m+2)q}$ and $\gamma_2>\frac{1}{q}$, and $q>\frac{2((m+2)n-m)}{(m+2)n-2}$. To derive (1.13), we shall split the integral domain $\{(t,x):\phi(t)^2-|x|^2\le 1\}$ in the corresponding Fourier integral operators into some pieces, which correspond to the "relatively large time" part and the "relatively small time" part respectively. By introducing and analyzing such kinds of Fourier integral operators for $z\in\mathbb{C}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(T_z g)(t,x) =&(z-\frac{(m+2)n+2}{2(m+2)})e^{z^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i[(x-y)\cdot\xi-(\phi(t)-|y|)|\xi|]}\\
&\times\big(1+\phi(t)|\xi|\big)^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}}g(y)\frac{\mathrm{d}\xi}{|\xi|^z}\mathrm{d}y,\\
(\tilde{T}_z g)(t,x) =&\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i[(x-y)\cdot\xi-(\phi(t)-|y|)|\xi|]}\big(1+\phi(t)|\xi|\big)^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}}\\
&\times\big(1+\phi(s)|\xi|\big)^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}}g(y)\frac{\mathrm{d}\xi}{|\xi|^z}\mathrm{d}y,
\end{aligned}
$$

and combining with the complex interpolation methods, we ultimately obtain (1.13). Based on (1.12) and (1.13), by the standard contraction mapping principle, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.1 in Section 6.

## 2 The weighted Strichartz inequality for homogeneous generalized Tricomi equation

In this section, our main purpose is to establish a weighted Strichartz inequality for the homogeneous generalized Tricomi equation:

$$
\begin{cases}
\partial_t^2 v - t^m\triangle v = 0,\\
v(0,x)=f(x), \quad \partial_t v(0,x)=g(x),
\end{cases}
\tag{2.1}
$$

where $f,g\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\mathrm{supp}(f,g)\subseteq\{x:|x|\le M-1\}$ for some fixed constant $M>1$. We now have the following weighted space-time estimate of Strichartz-type.

**Theorem 2.1.** *For the solution $v$ of (2.1), one then has*

$$
\Big\|\Big(\big(\phi(t)+M\big)^2-|x|^2\Big)^\gamma v\Big\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^{1+n}_+)}\le C(\parallel f\parallel_{W^{\frac{n}{2}+\frac{1}{m+2}+\delta,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}+\parallel g\parallel_{W^{\frac{n}{2}-\frac{1}{m+2}+\delta,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}),
\tag{2.2}
$$

*where $\phi(t)=\frac{2}{m+2}t^{\frac{m+2}{2}}$, $q>\frac{2((m+2)n-m)}{(m+2)n-2}$, $0<\gamma<\frac{(m+2)n-2}{2(m+2)}-\frac{(m+2)n-m}{(m+2)q}$, $0<\delta<\frac{n}{2}+\frac{1}{m+2}-\gamma-\frac{1}{q}$, and $C$ is a positive constant depending only on $m$, $n$, $q$, $\gamma$ and $\delta$.*

*Proof.* It follows from [29] that the solution $v$ of (2.1) can be expressed as

$$
v(t,x)=V_1(t,D_x)f(x)+V_2(t,D_x)g(x),
$$

where the symbols $V_j(t,\xi)$ $(j=1,2)$ of the Fourier integral operators $V_j(t,D_x)$ are

$$
V_1(t,|\xi|)=\frac{\Gamma(\frac{m}{m+2})}{\Gamma(\frac{m}{2(m+2)})}\Big[e^{\frac{z}{2}}H_+\Big(\frac{m}{2(m+2)},\frac{m}{m+2};z\Big)+e^{-\frac{z}{2}}H_-\Big(\frac{m}{2(m+2)},\frac{m}{m+2};z\Big)\Big]
\tag{2.3}
$$

and

$$V_2(t,|\xi|) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{m+4}{m+2})}{\Gamma(\frac{m+4}{2(m+2)})} t\Big[e^{\frac{z}{2}} H_+\Big(\frac{m+4}{2(m+2)}, \frac{m+4}{m+2}; z\Big) + e^{-\frac{z}{2}} H_-\Big(\frac{m+4}{2(m+2)}, \frac{m+4}{m+2}; z\Big)\Big], \tag{2.4}$$

here $z = 2i\phi(t)|\xi|$, $i = \sqrt{-1}$, and $H_\pm$ are smooth functions of the variable $z$. By [25], one knows that for $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$,

$$\big|\partial_\xi^\beta H_+(\alpha,\gamma;z)\big| \le C(\phi(t)|\xi|)^{\alpha-\gamma}(1+|\xi|^2)^{-\frac{|\beta|}{2}} \quad if \quad \phi(t)|\xi| \ge 1, \tag{2.5}$$

$$\big|\partial_\xi^\beta H_-(\alpha,\gamma;z)\big| \le C(\phi(t)|\xi|)^{-\alpha}(1+|\xi|^2)^{-\frac{|\beta|}{2}} \quad if \quad \phi(t)|\xi| \ge 1. \tag{2.6}$$

To estimate $v$, it only suffices to deal with $V_1(t,D_x)f(x)$ since the treatment on $V_2(t,D_x)g(x)$ is similar. Indeed, if one just notices a simple fact of $t\phi(t)^{-\frac{m+4}{2(m+2)}} = C_m\phi(t)^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}}$, it then follows from the expressions of $V_1(t,\xi)$ and $V_2(t,\xi)$ that the orders of $t$ in $V_1(t,\xi)$ and $V_2(t,\xi)$ are the same. Therefore, without loss of generality, we assume $g(x) \equiv 0$ in (2.1) from now on.

Choose a cut-off function $\chi(s) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ with $\chi(s) = \begin{cases} 1, & s \ge 2 \\ 0, & s \le 1 \end{cases}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} V_1(t,|\xi|)\hat{f}(\xi) &= \chi(\phi(t)|\xi|)V_1(t,|\xi|)\hat{f}(\xi) + (1-\chi(\phi(t)|\xi|))V_1(t,|\xi|)\hat{f}(\xi) \\ &=: \hat{v}_1(t,\xi) + \hat{v}_2(t,\xi). \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

By (2.3), (2.5) and (2.6), we derive that

$$v_1(t,x) = C_m\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i(x\cdot\xi+\phi(t)|\xi|)}a_{11}(t,\xi)\hat{f}(\xi)\mathrm{d}\xi + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i(x\cdot\xi-\phi(t)|\xi|)}a_{12}(t,\xi)\hat{f}(\xi)\mathrm{d}\xi\Big), \tag{2.8}$$

where $C_m > 0$ is a generic constant depending on $m$, and for $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$,

$$\big|\partial_\xi^\beta a_{1l}(t,\xi)\big| \le C_{l\beta}|\xi|^{-|\beta|}\big(1+\phi(t)|\xi|\big)^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}}, \qquad l = 1,2.$$

Next we analyze $v_2(t,x)$. It follows from [4] or [29] that

$$V_1(t,|\xi|) = e^{-\frac{z}{2}}\Phi\Big(\frac{m}{2(m+2)}, \frac{m}{m+2}; z\Big),$$

where $\Phi$ is the confluent hypergeometric function which is analytic with respect to the variable $z = 2i\phi(t)|\xi|$. Then

$$\Big|\partial_\xi\big\{\big(1-\chi(\phi(t)|\xi|)\big)V_1(t,|\xi|)\big\}\Big| \le C(1+\phi(t)|\xi|)^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}}|\xi|^{-1}.$$

Similarly, one has

$$\Big|\partial_\xi^\beta\big\{\big(1-\chi(\phi(t)|\xi|)\big)V_1(t,|\xi|)\big\}\Big| \le C(1+\phi(t)|\xi|)^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}}|\xi|^{-|\beta|}.$$

Thus we arrive at

$$v_2(t,x)=C_m\bigg(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}e^{i(x\cdot\xi+\phi(t)|\xi|)}a_{21}(t,\xi)\hat{f}(\xi)\mathrm{d}\xi+\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}e^{i(x\cdot\xi-\phi(t)|\xi|)}a_{22}(t,\xi)\hat{f}(\xi)\mathrm{d}\xi\bigg),\quad(2.9)$$

where, for $\beta\in\mathbb{N}_0^n$,

$$\big|\partial_\xi^\beta a_{2l}(t,\xi)\big|\le C_{l\beta}\big(1+\phi(t)|\xi|\big)^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}}|\xi|^{-|\beta|},\qquad l=1,2.$$

Substituting (2.8) and (2.9) into (2.7) yields

$$V_1(t,D_x)f(x)=C_m\bigg(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}e^{i(x\cdot\xi+\phi(t)|\xi|)}a_1(t,\xi)\hat{f}(\xi)\mathrm{d}\xi+\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}e^{i(x\cdot\xi-\phi(t)|\xi|)}a_2(t,\xi)\hat{f}(\xi)\mathrm{d}\xi\bigg),$$

where $a_l$ $(l=1,2)$ satisfies

$$|\partial_\xi^\beta a_l(t,\xi)\big|\le C_{l\beta}\big(1+\phi(t)|\xi|\big)^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}}|\xi|^{-|\beta|}.\quad(2.10)$$

To estimate $V_1(t,D_x)f(x)$, it only suffices to deal with $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}e^{i(x\cdot\xi+\phi(t)|\xi|)}a_1(t,\xi)\hat{f}(\xi)\mathrm{d}\xi$ since the term $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}e^{i(x\cdot\xi-\phi(t)|\xi|)}a_2(t,\xi)\hat{f}(\xi)\mathrm{d}\xi$ can be analogously treated. Set

$$(Af)(t,x)=:\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}e^{i(x\cdot\xi+\phi(t)|\xi|)}a_1(t,\xi)\hat{f}(\xi)\mathrm{d}\xi.$$

Let $\beta(\tau)\in C_0^\infty(\frac{1}{2},2)$ such that

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}\beta(\frac{\tau}{2^j})\equiv1\quad\text{for }\tau\in\mathbb{R}_+.\quad(2.11)$$

To estimate $(Af)(t,x)$, we now study its corresponding dyadic operators

$$\begin{aligned}(A_jf)(t,x)&=\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}e^{i(x\cdot\xi+\phi(t)|\xi|)}\beta(\frac{|\xi|}{2^j})a_1(t,\xi)\hat{f}(\xi)\mathrm{d}\xi\\&=:\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}e^{i(x\cdot\xi+\phi(t)|\xi|)}a_j(t,\xi)\hat{f}(\xi)\mathrm{d}\xi,\end{aligned}$$

where $j\in\mathbb{Z}$. Note that the kernel of operator $A_j$ is

$$K_j(t,x;y)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}e^{i((x-y)\cdot\xi+\phi(t)|\xi|)}a_j(t,\xi)\mathrm{d}\xi,$$

where $|y|\le M-1$ because of $\operatorname{supp}f\subseteq\{x:|x|\le M-1\}$. By (3.29) of [13], we have that for any $N\in\mathbb{R}^+$,

$$|K_j(t,x;y)|\le C_{m,n,N}\lambda_j^{\frac{n+1}{2}}(1+\phi(t)\lambda_j)^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}}\big(\phi(t)+\lambda_j^{-1}\big)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}\big(1+\lambda_j\big||x-y|-\phi(t)\big|\big)^{-N},\quad(2.12)$$

where $\lambda_j=2^j$. Since the solution $v$ of (2.1) is smooth and has compact support on the variable $x$ for any fixed time, one easily knows that (2.2) holds in any fixed domain $[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n$. Therefore, in order to prove (2.2), it suffices to consider the case of $\phi(t)\gg M$. At this time, the following two cases will be studied separately.

## 2.1 $\big||x-y|-\phi(t)\big| \gg M$

For this case, there exist two positive constants $C_1$ and $C_2$ such that

$$C_1\big||x-y|-\phi(t)\big| \geq \big||x|-\phi(t)\big| \geq C_2\big||x-y|-\phi(t)\big| \gg M.$$

If $j \geq 0$, we then take $N = \frac{n}{2} + \frac{1}{m+2} + \delta$ in (2.12) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}|K_j(t,x;y)| &\leq C_{m,n,\delta}\lambda_j^{\frac{n+1}{2}-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}}\phi(t)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}}\lambda_j^{-\frac{n}{2}-\frac{1}{m+2}-\delta}\big||x|-\phi(t)\big|^{-\frac{n}{2}-\frac{1}{m+2}-\delta}\\ &\leq C_{m,n,\delta}\lambda_j^{-\delta}\big(1+\phi(t)\big)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}}\big(1+\big||x|-\phi(t)\big|\big)^{-\frac{n}{2}-\frac{1}{m+2}-\delta}.\end{aligned}$$

For $j < 0$, taking $N = \frac{n}{2} + \frac{1}{m+2} - \delta$ in (2.12) we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}|K_j(t,x;y)| &\leq C_{m,n,\delta}\lambda_j^{\frac{n+1}{2}-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}}\phi(t)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}}\lambda_j^{-\frac{n}{2}-\frac{1}{m+2}+\delta}\big||x|-\phi(t)\big|^{-\frac{n}{2}-\frac{1}{m+2}+\delta}\\ &\leq C_{m,n,\delta}\lambda_j^{\delta}\big(1+\phi(t)\big)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}}\big(1+\big||x|-\phi(t)\big|\big)^{-\frac{n}{2}-\frac{1}{m+2}+\delta}.\end{aligned}$$

It follows from $f(x) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and direct computation that

$$|A_jf| \leq \begin{cases} C_{m,n,\delta}\lambda_j^{\delta}\big(1+\phi(t)\big)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}}\big(1+\big||x|-\phi(t)\big|\big)^{-\frac{n}{2}-\frac{1}{m+2}+\delta}\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}, & j<0,\\ C_{m,n,\delta}\lambda_j^{-\delta}\big(1+\phi(t)\big)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}}\big(1+\big||x|-\phi(t)\big|\big)^{-\frac{n}{2}-\frac{1}{m+2}-\delta}\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}, & j\geq 0.\end{cases} \tag{2.13}$$

Summing the right sides of (2.13), we get that for large $\phi(t)$ and $\big||x|-\phi(t)\big|$,

$$|v| \leq C_{m,n,\delta}\big(1+\phi(t)\big)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}}\big(1+\big||x|-\phi(t)\big|\big)^{-\frac{n}{2}-\frac{1}{m+2}+\delta}\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{2.14}$$

## 2.2 $\big||x-y|-\phi(t)\big| \leq CM$

By the similar method as in Case 1, we can establish that for $t > 1$,

$$\| v(t,\cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_{m,n,\delta}\phi(t)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}}\| f \|_{W^{\frac{n}{2}+\frac{1}{m+2}+\delta,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \tag{2.15}$$

where $0 < \delta < \frac{n}{2} + \frac{1}{m+2} - \gamma - \frac{1}{q}$ is a positive constant.

Indeed, note that

$$|A_jf| = \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i(x\cdot\xi+\phi(t)|\xi|)}\frac{a_j(t,\xi)}{|\xi|^\alpha}\widehat{|D_x|^\alpha f}(\xi)\mathrm{d}\xi\right|,$$

where $\alpha = \frac{n}{2} + \frac{1}{m+2} + \delta$. Then by the stationary phase method, we have that for $j \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}|A_jf| &\leq C_{m,n,\delta}\lambda_j^{-\alpha}\lambda_j^{\frac{n+1}{2}}\big(1+\phi(t)\lambda_j\big)^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}}\big(\phi(t)+\lambda_j^{-1}\big)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}\| f \|_{W^{\frac{n}{2}+\frac{1}{m+2}+\delta,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\ &\leq C_{m,n,\delta}\lambda_j^{-\delta}\big(1+\phi(t)\big)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}}\| f \|_{W^{\frac{n}{2}+\frac{1}{m+2}+\delta,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

Similarly, for $j<0$, we have

$$|A_jf|\le C_{m,n,\delta}\lambda_j^{\delta}\big(1+\phi(t)\big)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}}\|f\|_{W^{\frac{n}{2}+\frac{1}{m+2}-\delta,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{2.17}$$

Summing all the terms in (2.16) and (2.17) yields (2.15).

Therefore, it follows from (2.14) and (2.15) that

$$|v|\le C_{m,n,\delta}(1+\phi(t))^{-\frac{n-1}{2}-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}}(1+\big||x|-\phi(t)\big|)^{-\frac{n}{2}-\frac{1}{m+2}+\delta}\|f\|_{W^{\frac{n}{2}+\frac{1}{m+2}-\delta,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{2.18}$$

Next we compute the integral in the left hand side of (2.2) by using (2.18) and the polar coordinate transformation.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big\|\big((\phi(t)+M)^2-|x|^2\big)^{\gamma}v\Big\|^q_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^{1+n}_+)}\\
&\le C_{m,n,\delta}\|f\|_{W^{\frac{n}{2}+\frac{1}{m+2}-\delta,1}}\int_0^\infty\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\bigg(\Big(\big(\phi(t)+M\big)^2-|x|^2\Big)^{\gamma}\big(1+\phi(t)\big)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}}\\
&\qquad\qquad\times\Big(1+\big||x|-\phi(t)\big|\Big)^{-\frac{n}{2}-\frac{1}{m+2}+\delta}\bigg)^q dxdt\\
&\le C_{m,n,\delta}\|f\|_{W^{\frac{n}{2}+\frac{1}{m+2}-\delta,1}}\int_0^\infty\int_0^\infty\Big(\big(\phi(t)+M+r\big)^{\gamma}\big(\phi(t)+M-r\big)^{\gamma}\\
&\qquad\qquad\times\big(1+\phi(t)\big)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}}\big(1+|r-\phi(t)|\big)^{-\frac{n}{2}-\frac{1}{m+2}+\delta}\Big)^q r^{n-1}drdt\\
&\le C_{m,n,\delta}\|f\|_{W^{\frac{n}{2}+\frac{1}{m+2}-\delta,1}}\int_0^\infty\int_0^\infty\Big(\big(1+\phi(t)\big)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}+\gamma}\\
&\qquad\qquad\times\big(1+|r-\phi(t)|\big)^{\gamma-\frac{n}{2}-\frac{1}{m+2}+\delta}\Big)^q r^{n-1}drdt.
\end{aligned}\tag{2.19}$$

Notice that by our assumption, $\gamma-\frac{n-1}{2}-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}<(\frac{m}{m+2}-n)\frac{1}{q}$ holds. Then we can choose two constants $\sigma>0$ and $\delta>0$ such that

$$\gamma-\frac{n-1}{2}-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}<\Big(\frac{m}{m+2}-n\Big)\frac{1}{q}-\sigma$$

and

$$\Big(\gamma-\frac{n}{2}-\frac{1}{m+2}+\delta\Big)q<-1.$$

Then for some positive constant $\bar\sigma>0$, the integral in the last line of (2.19) can be controlled by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^\infty\int_0^\infty\big(1+\phi(t)\big)^{\frac{m}{m+2}-n-\bar\sigma}\big(1+\big|r-\phi(t)\big|\big)^{-1-\bar\sigma}r^{n-1}drdt\\
&\le C\int_0^\infty\big(1+\phi(t)\big)^{\frac{m}{m+2}-n-\bar\sigma}\big(1+\phi(t)\big)^{n-1}dt\\
&\le C.
\end{aligned}$$

This, together with (2.19), yields (2.2). □

# 3 The weighted Strichartz inequality for the inhomogeneous generalized Tricomi equation

In this section, based on the assumptions of two endpoint inequalities (whose proofs are given in §4 and §5 respectively), we shall establish a general weighted Strichartz inequality for the following inhomogeneous Tricomi equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^2 w - t^m \triangle w = F(t,x), \\ w(0,x) = 0, \quad \partial_t w(0,x) = 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

Our first result is:

**Theorem 3.1.** *For problem* (3.1)*, if* $F(t,x) \equiv 0$ *when* $|x| > \phi(t) - 1$*, then there exist some constants* $\gamma_1$ *and* $\gamma_2$ *satisfying* $0 < \gamma_1 < \frac{(m+2)n-2}{2(m+2)} - \frac{(m+2)n-m}{(m+2)q}$ *and* $\gamma_2 > \frac{1}{q}$*, such that*

$$\big\|\big(\phi(t)^2 - |x|^2\big)^{\gamma_1} w\big\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^{1+n}_+)} \le C\big\|\big(\phi(t)^2 - |x|^2\big)^{\gamma_2} F\big\|_{L^{\frac{q}{q-1}}(\mathbb{R}^{1+n}_+)}, \tag{3.2}$$

*where* $q > \frac{2((m+2)n-m)}{(m+2)n-2}$*, and* $C > 0$ *is a positive constant depending on* $m$*,* $n$*,* $q$*,* $\gamma_1$ *and* $\gamma_2$*.*

*Proof.* To establish (3.2), motivated by [7], we shall assume that (3.2) holds for two special cases, namely, (3.2) holds for the two endpoints $q = q_0 = \frac{2((m+2)n+2)}{(m+2)n-2}$ (corresponding to the extreme cases of $\gamma_1 = \frac{(m+2)n-2}{2(m+2)} - \frac{(m+2)n-m}{(m+2)q}$, $\gamma_2 = \frac{1}{q}$ and $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2$ in the inequality (3.2)) and $q = 2$ (corresponding $q = \frac{q}{q-1}$ for the inequality (3.2)):

$$\big\|\big(\phi(t)^2 - |x|^2\big)^{\gamma_1} w\big\|_{L^{q_0}(\mathbb{R}^{1+n}_+)} \le C\big\|\big(\phi(t)^2 - |x|^2\big)^{\gamma_2} F\big\|_{L^{\frac{q_0}{q_0-1}}(\mathbb{R}^{1+n}_+)}, \tag{3.3}$$

where $\gamma_1 < \frac{1}{q_0}$ and $\gamma_2 > \frac{1}{q_0}$; and

$$\big\|\big(\phi(t)^2 - |x|^2\big)^{\gamma_1} w\big\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{1+n}_+)} \le C\big\|\big(\phi(t)^2 - |x|^2\big)^{\gamma_2} F\big\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{1+n}_+)}, \tag{3.4}$$

where $\gamma_1 < \frac{m-2}{2(m+2)}$ and $\gamma_2 > \frac{1}{2}$. Since the proofs of (3.3) and (3.4) involve many technical analysis, we will postpone them in next two sections respectively. It follows from (3.3)-(3.4) and direct interpolation that (3.2) can be derived. □

Based on Theorem 3.1, in order to prove Theorem 1.1, we require to establish a crucial result as follows:

**Theorem 3.2.** *For problem* (3.1)*, if* $F(t,x) \equiv 0$ *when* $|x| > \phi(t) + M - 1$ *and* $F \in C^\infty([0,T_0] \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ *for some fixed number* $T_0 > 0$ $(T_0 < 1)$*, then there exist some constants* $\gamma_1$ *and* $\gamma_2$ *satisfying* $0 < \gamma_1 < \frac{(m+2)n-2}{2(m+2)} - \frac{(m+2)n-m}{(m+2)q}$*,* $\gamma_2 > \frac{1}{q}$*, such that*

$$\Big\|\Big(\big(\phi(t)+M\big)^2 - |x|^2\Big)^{\gamma_1} w\Big\|_{L^q([\frac{T_0}{2},\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C\Big\|\Big(\big(\phi(t)+M\big)^2 - |x|^2\Big)^{\gamma_2} F\Big\|_{L^{\frac{q}{q-1}}([\frac{T_0}{2},\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^n)}, \tag{3.5}$$

*where* $q > \frac{2((m+2)n-m)}{(m+2)n-2}$*, and* $C > 0$ *is a positive constant depending on* $m$*,* $n$*,* $q$*,* $\gamma_1$ *and* $\gamma_2$*.*

**Remark 3.1.** *In fact, for the application in the proof of Theorem 1.1 (see Section 6), it only suffices to choose some suitable positive constants $\gamma_1$ and $\gamma_2$ such that $\gamma_1 < \frac{(m+2)n-2}{2(m+2)} - \frac{(m+2)n-m}{(m+2)q}$ and $\gamma_2 = (q-1)\gamma_1 > \frac{1}{q}$ in the inequality* (3.5).

*Proof.* To prove (3.5), at first we focus on a special case of $F(t,x) \equiv 0$ when $|x| > \phi(t) - \phi\big(\frac{T_0}{4}\big)$. By the finite propagation speed property for the hyperbolic equations, we know that the integral domain in (3.5) is just only $Q =: \{(t,x) : t \geq \frac{T_0}{2}, |x| \leq \phi(t) + M - 1\}$. Note that $Q$ can be covered by a finite number of curved cones $\{Q_j\}_{j=1}^{N_0}$, where the curved cone $Q_j$ $(j \geq 2)$ is a shift in the $x$ variable with respect to the curved cone

$$Q_1 = \Big\{(t,x) : t \geq \frac{T_0}{2}, |x| \leq \phi(t) - \phi\Big(\frac{T_0}{4}\Big)\Big\}.$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned}
&F_1 = \chi_{Q_1} F,\\
&F_2 = \chi_{Q_2}(1-\chi_{Q_1})F,\\
&\qquad \cdots\\
&F_{N_0} = \chi_{Q_{N_0}}\big(1 - \chi_{Q_1} - \chi_{Q_2}(1-\chi_{Q_1}) - \cdots - \chi_{Q_{N_0-1}}(1-\chi_{Q_1})\cdots(1-\chi_{Q_{N_0-2}})\big)F,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\chi_{Q_j}$ stands for the characteristic function of $Q_j$, and $\sum_{j=1}^{N_0} F_j = F$. Let $w_j$ solve

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^2 w_j - t^m \triangle w_j = F_j(t,x), \\ w_j(0,x) = 0, \quad \partial_t w_j(0,x) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then $supp w_j \subseteq Q_j$. Since the Tricomi equation is invariant under the translation with respect to the variable $x$, it follows from Theorem 3.1 that

$$\big\|\big(\phi(t)^2 - |x-\nu_j|^2\big)^{\gamma_1} w_j\big\|_{L^q(Q_j)} \leq C\big\|\big(\phi(t)^2 - |x-\nu_j|^2\big)^{\gamma_2} F_j\big\|_{L^{\frac{q}{q-1}}(Q_j)}, \tag{3.6}$$

where $\nu_j \in \mathbb{R}^n$ corresponds to the coordinate shift of the space variable $x$ from $Q_1$ to $Q_j$, and $Q_j = \{(t,x) : t \geq \frac{T_0}{2}, |x-\nu_j| \leq \phi(t) - \phi(\frac{T_0}{4})\}$.

Next we derive (3.5) by utilizing (3.6) and the condition of $t \geq \frac{T_0}{4}$. At first, we illustrate that there exists a constant $\delta > 0$ such that for $(t,x) \in Q_j$,

$$\phi(t)^2 - |x-\nu_j|^2 \geq \delta\Big(\big(\phi(t)+M\big)^2 - |x|^2\Big). \tag{3.7}$$

To prove (3.7) for $1 \leq j \leq N_0$, it only suffices to consider the two extreme cases: $\nu_j = 0$ (corresponding to $j = 1$) and $|\nu_{j_0}| = M - 1 + \phi(\frac{3T_0}{8})$ (choosing $j_0$ such that $|\nu_{j_0}| = \max_{1\leq j\leq N_0} |\nu_j| = M - 1 + \phi(\frac{3T_0}{8})$. Note that $|\nu_{j_0}| > M - 1$ holds so that the domain $Q$ can be covered by $\cup_{j=1}^{N_0} Q_j$).

For $\nu_j = 0$, (3.7) is equivalent to

$$\phi(t)^2 \geq (1-\delta)|x|^2 + \delta\big(\phi(t)+M\big)^2. \tag{3.8}$$

We now illustrate that (3.8) is correct. By $|x| \le \phi(t) - \phi(\frac{T_0}{4})$ for $(t,x) \in Q_1$, then in order to show (3.8) it suffices to prove

$$\phi(t)^2 \ge (1-\delta)\Big(\phi(t) - \phi\Big(\frac{T_0}{4}\Big)\Big)^2 + \delta\big(\phi(t)+M\big)^2.$$

This is equivalent to

$$\Big\{2(1-\delta)\phi\Big(\frac{T_0}{4}\Big) - 2\delta M\Big\}\phi(t) \ge (1-\delta)\phi^2\Big(\frac{T_0}{4}\Big) + \delta M^2.$$

Obviously, this is easily achieved by $t \ge \frac{T_0}{4}$ and the smallness of $\delta$.

For $\nu_{j_0} = M - 1 + \phi\big(\frac{3T_0}{8}\big)$, the argument on (3.7) is a little involved. First, note that for fixed $t > 0$, the domain $Q$ is symmetric with respect to the variable $x$, thus we can assume $\nu_{j_0} = (\nu, 0, \dots, 0)$ with $\nu = |\nu_{j_0}| = M - 1 + \phi(\frac{3T_0}{8})$. In this case, setting $x = (x_1, x')$, then (3.7) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(t)^2 &\ge |x-\nu_{j_0}|^2 + \delta\big((\phi(t)+M)^2 - |x|^2\big)\\ &= (1-\delta)x_1^2 - 2\nu x_1 + \nu^2 + (1-\delta)|x'|^2 + \delta\big(\phi(t)+M\big)^2\\ &=: G(t,x).\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

For fixed $t > 0$, $G(t,x)$ is a hyperbolic paraboloid for the variable $x$, and takes minimum at the point $x = (\frac{\nu}{1-\delta}, 0)$. Thus for the same fixed $t > 0$, the maximum of $G(t,x)$ in the domain $Q^t_{j_0} =: \{x : |x-\nu_{j_0}| \le \phi(t) - \phi(\frac{T_0}{4})\}$ must be achieved on the boundary $\partial Q^t_{j_0} =: \{x : |x-\nu_{j_0}| = \phi(t) - \phi\big(\frac{T_0}{4}\big)\}$. Then in order to show (3.9), our task is to prove

$$\phi(t)^2 \ge \Big(\phi(t) - \phi\Big(\frac{T_0}{4}\Big)\Big)^2 + \delta\Big(\big(\phi(t)+M\big)^2 - |x|^2\Big). \tag{3.10}$$

For this purpose, it is only enough to consider the case that $|x|^2$ takes its minimum on $\partial Q^t_{j_0}$. Note that on $\partial Q^t_{j_0}$, we have

$$|x|^2 = \Big(\phi(t) - \phi\Big(\frac{T_0}{4}\Big)\Big)^2 + 2\nu x_1 - \nu^2. \tag{3.11}$$

Therefore, without loss of generality, we can take

$$x_1 = \nu - \phi(t) + \phi\Big(\frac{T_0}{4}\Big), \quad x' = 0. \tag{3.12}$$

Substituting (3.12) and (3.11) into (3.10), we are left to prove

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(t)^2 \ge{}& \Big(\phi(t) - \phi\Big(\frac{T_0}{4}\Big)\Big)^2 + \delta\Big\{\big(\phi(t)+M\big)^2\\ &- \Big(\phi(t) - \phi\Big(\frac{T_0}{4}\Big)\Big)^2 + 2\nu\Big(\phi(t) - \phi\Big(\frac{T_0}{4}\Big)\Big) - \nu^2\Big\}\\ ={}& \phi(t)^2 + \Big\{2\delta\Big(\phi\Big(\frac{T_0}{4}\Big) + M + \nu\Big) - 2\phi\Big(\frac{T_0}{4}\Big)\Big\}\phi(t)\\ &+ (1-\delta)\phi\Big(\frac{T_0}{4}\Big)^2 + \delta M^2 - \delta\nu\Big(\nu + 2\phi\Big(\frac{T_0}{4}\Big)\Big).\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

For fixed $T_0 > 0$ and $M > 1$, if $\delta > 0$ is small enough, one then has

$$\begin{aligned} &2\delta\Big(\phi\Big(\frac{T_0}{4}\Big) + M + \nu\Big) \le \frac{1}{2}\phi\Big(\frac{T_0}{4}\Big), \\ &(1-\delta)\phi\Big(\frac{T_0}{4}\Big)^2 + \delta M^2 \le \frac{3}{2}\phi\Big(\frac{T_0}{4}\Big)^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

By (3.14) and (3.13), in order to derive (3.10), one should derive

$$-\frac{3}{2}\phi\Big(\frac{T_0}{4}\Big)\phi(t) + \frac{3}{2}\phi^2\Big(\frac{T_0}{4}\Big) \le 0.$$

Obviously, this holds true by $t \ge \frac{T_0}{4}$. Then (3.10) is proved.

Consequently, for $(t,x) \in \bigcup_{j=1}^{N_0} Q_j$, there exists a fixed positive constant $c > 0$ such that for $1 \le j \le N_0$,

$$c\Big(\big(\phi(t)+M\big)^2 - |x|^2\Big) \le \phi(t)^2 - |x-\nu_j|^2. \tag{3.15}$$

Note that by $|x| \le \phi(t) + M - 1$ for $(t,x) \in Q$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} &2\big\{\big(\phi(t)+M\big)^2 - |x|^2\big\} - \{\phi^2(t) - |x-\nu_j|^2\} \\ &\ge (|x|+1)^2 - |x|^2 + \big(\phi(t)+M\big)^2 - |x|^2 - \phi(t)^2 + |x-\nu_j|^2 \\ &= 2M\phi(t) + M^2 + |\nu_j|^2 + 1 + 2(1-|\nu_j|)|x|. \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

If $1 - |\nu_j| < 0$, then by $|\nu_j| \le M - 1 + \phi\big(\frac{3T_0}{8}\big)$ and the smallness of $T_0$, the last line in (3.16) is bounded from below by

$$\begin{aligned} &2M\phi(t) + M^2 + |\nu_j|^2 + 1 + 2\Big\{2 - M - \phi\Big(\frac{3T_0}{8}\Big)\Big\}\{\phi(t) + M - 1\} \\ &= 4\phi(t) - M^2 + 6M - 3 + |\nu_j|^2 - 2\phi\Big(\frac{3T_0}{8}\Big)\phi(t) - 2(M-1)\phi\Big(\frac{3T_0}{8}\Big) \\ &\ge 2\phi(t) - M^2 + 1; \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

while in the case of $1 - |\nu_j| \ge 0$, it follows from (3.16) that

$$2\big\{\big(\phi(t)+M\big)^2 - |x|^2\big\} - \{\phi(t)^2 - |x-\nu_j|^2\} \ge M^2 + 1 > 0. \tag{3.18}$$

Substituting (3.17)-(3.18) into (3.16) yields that for $2\phi(t) \ge M^2 - 1$,

$$\phi(t)^2 - |x-\nu_j|^2 \le C\Big(\big(\phi(t)+M\big)^2 - |x|^2\Big). \tag{3.19}$$

On the other hand, if $2\phi(t) < M^2 - 1$, then

$$\phi(t)^2 - |x-\nu_j|^2 \le \phi(t)^2 \le C_M \le C_M\Big(\big(\phi(t)+M\big)^2 - |x|^2\Big). \tag{3.20}$$

Thus it follows from (3.19)-(3.20) that (3.15) holds. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big\|\Big(\big(\phi(t)+M\big)^2-|x|^2\Big)^{\gamma_1}w\Big\|_{L^q([\frac{T_0}{2},\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\\
&\le C\sum_{j=1}^{N_0}\Big\|\Big(\big(\phi(t)+M\big)^2-|x|^2\Big)^{\gamma_1}w_j\Big\|_{L^q(Q_j)}\\
&\le C\sum_{j=1}^{N_0}\big\|\big(\phi(t)^2-|x-\nu_j|^2\big)^{\gamma_1}w_j\big\|_{L^q(Q_j)} \qquad \big(\text{by (3.7)}\big)\\
&\le C\sum_{j=1}^{N_0}\big\|\big(\phi(t)^2-|x-\nu_j|^2\big)^{\gamma_2}F_j\big\|_{L^{\frac{q}{q-1}}(Q_j)} \qquad \big(\text{by (3.6)}\big)\\
&\le C\sum_{j=1}^{N_0}\Big\|\Big(\big(\phi(t)+M\big)^2-|x|^2\Big)^{\gamma_2}F_j\Big\|_{L^{\frac{q}{q-1}}(Q_j)} \qquad \big(\text{by (3.15)}\big)\\
&\le C_{N_0}\Big\|\Big(\big(\phi(t)+M\big)^2-|x|^2\big)^{\gamma_2}F\Big\|_{L^{\frac{q}{q-1}}([\frac{T_0}{2},\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^n)},
\end{aligned}$$

which derives (3.5). □

# 4 The proof of (3.3)

One can write inequality (3.3) as

$$\Big\|\big(\phi(t)^2-|x|^2\big)^{\frac{1}{q_0}-\nu}w\Big\|_{L^{q_0}(\mathbb{R}^{1+n}_+)}\le C\Big\|\big(\phi(t)^2-|x|^2\big)^{\frac{1}{q_0}+\nu}F\Big\|_{L^{\frac{q_0}{q_0-1}}(\mathbb{R}^{1+n}_+)}, \tag{4.1}$$

where $\nu>0$, and $q_0=\frac{2((m+2)n+2)}{(m+2)n-2}$. To prove (4.1), it suffices to prove the following inequality for $T\ge\bar T$,

$$\Big\|\big(\phi(t)^2-|x|^2\big)^{\frac{1}{q_0}-\nu}w\Big\|_{L^{q_0}([\frac{T}{2},T]\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\le C\phi(T)^{-\nu}|\ln T|^{\frac{1}{q_0}}\Big\|\big(\phi(t)^2-|x|^2\big)^{\frac{1}{q_0}+\nu}F\Big\|_{L^{\frac{q_0}{q_0-1}}(\mathbb{R}^{1+n}_+)}, \tag{4.2}$$

where $\bar T>0$ is a fixed large constant. Indeed, Lemma 3.4 of [9] implies

$$\|\ w\ \|_{L^{q_0}([0,\bar T/2]\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\le C\ \|\ F\ \|_{L^{\frac{q_0}{q_0-1}}([0,\bar T/2]\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\ . \tag{4.3}$$

When $0<t\le\bar T$ and $\phi(t)-|x|\ge1$, the weight function $\phi(t)^2-|x|^2$ in the inequality (4.2) is bounded from below and above, thus we have that from (4.3)

$$\|\ (\phi(t)^2-|x|^2)^{\frac{1}{q_0}-\nu}w\ \|_{L^{q_0}([0,\bar T/2]\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\le C\ \|\ (\phi(t)^2-|x|^2)^{\frac{1}{q_0}+\nu}F\ \|_{L^{\frac{q_0}{q_0-1}}([0,\bar T/2]\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\ . \tag{4.4}$$

Then summing (4.2) over all the $T\ge\bar T$ together with (4.4) yields (4.1).

Note that $F(t,x) \equiv 0$ for $|x| > \phi(t) - 1$, then this means $\mathrm{supp}\ F \subseteq \{(t,x) : |x|^2 \leq \phi(t)^2 - 1\}$. Set $F = F^0 + F^1$, where

$$F^0 = \begin{cases} F, & \phi(t) \leq \dfrac{\phi(1)\phi(T)}{10\phi(2)}, \\ 0, & \phi(t) > \dfrac{\phi(T)\phi(1)}{10\phi(2)}. \end{cases} \tag{4.5}$$

Correspondingly, $w = w^0 + w^1$, and $w^j$ $(j = 0, 1)$ solves

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^2 w^j - t^m \Delta w^j = F^j \\ w^j(0,x) = 0, \quad \partial_t w^j(0,x) = 0. \end{cases}$$

To prove (4.2), it suffices to show that for $j = 0, 1$,

$$\left\| \left(\phi(t)^2 - |x|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{q_0} - \nu} w^j \right\|_{L^{q_0}(\{(t,x): \frac{T}{2} \leq t \leq T\})} \leq C\phi(T)^{-\frac{\nu}{4}} \left\| \left(\phi(t)^2 - |x|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{q_0} + \nu} F^j \right\|_{L^{\frac{q_0}{q_0 - 1}}(\mathbb{R}^{1+n}_+)}. \tag{4.6}$$

For this purpose, we shall make some reductions. By making these reductions, we shall restrict the support of $F_j$ and $w_j$ in certain domains, such that in each domain the characteristic weight $\phi(t)^2 - |x|^2$ on both sides of (4.6) can be removed. In this case, our task is reduced to prove some unweighted Strichartz estimates, which is relatively easier than to prove (4.6) directly by applying some basic techniques from Fourier integral operators.

Next we give a precise description on the reductions. First, we suppose $\mathrm{supp}\, F^j \subseteq [T_0, 2T_0] \times \mathbb{R}^n$ for some fixed constant $T_0 > 0$ satisfying $\phi(2T_0) \geq 1$. Then (4.6) follows from

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \left(\phi(t)^2 - |x|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{q_0} - \nu} w^j \right\|_{L^{q_0}(\{(t,x): \frac{T}{2} \leq t \leq T\})} \\ &\quad \leq C\phi(T_0)^{-\frac{\nu}{4}} \phi(T)^{-\frac{\nu}{4}} \left\| \left(\phi(t)^2 - |x|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{q_0} + \nu} F^j \right\|_{L^{\frac{q_0}{q_0 - 1}}(\mathbb{R}^{1+n}_+)} \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

by summing up all these $T_0$.

Second, we pose more restrictions on the support of $F_j$. That is, we assume that $F^j \equiv 0$ holds when the value of $\phi(t) - |x|$ is not in $[\delta_0\phi(T_0), 2\delta_0\phi(T_0)]$ for some fixed constant $\delta_0$ with $0 < \delta_0 \leq 2$ and $\delta_0\phi(T_0) \geq 1$, then in order to prove (4.7) we only need to show

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \left(\phi(t)^2 - |x|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{q_0} - \nu} w^j \right\|_{L^{q_0}(\{(t,x): \frac{T}{2} \leq t \leq T\})} \\ &\quad \leq C\phi(T_0)^{-\frac{\nu}{2}} \phi(T)^{-\frac{\nu}{4}} \left\| \left(\phi(t)^2 - |x|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{q_0} + \nu} F^j \right\|_{L^{\frac{q_0}{q_0 - 1}}(\mathbb{R}^{1+n}_+)}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

Third, we make a dyadic decomposition on the variable $\phi(t) - |x|$ in the support of $w^j$, such that in order to prove (4.8) it suffices to show that for $\delta \geq \delta_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \left(\phi^2(t) - |x|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{q_0} - \nu} w^j \right\|_{L^{q_0}(\{(t,x): \frac{T}{2} \leq t \leq T, \delta\phi(T_0) \leq \phi(t) - |x| \leq 2\delta\phi(T_0)\})} \\ &\quad \leq C(\phi(T_0)\phi(T))^{-\frac{\nu}{2}} \left\| \left(\phi(t)^2 - |x|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{q_0} + \nu} F^j \right\|_{L^{\frac{q_0}{q_0 - 1}}(\mathbb{R}^{1+n}_+)}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

With these reductions, to prove (4.9), the left task is to establish

$$(\phi(T_0)\phi(T)\delta)^{\frac{1}{q_0}-\nu}\,\|w^j\|_{L^{q_0}(\{(t,x):\frac{T}{2}\le t\le T,\delta\phi(T_0)\le\phi(t)-|x|\le 2\delta\phi(T_0)\})}\\ \le C(\phi(T_0)\phi(T))^{-\frac{\nu}{2}}(\phi^2(T_0)\delta_0)^{\frac{1}{q_0}+\nu}\,\|F^j\|_{L^{\frac{q_0}{q_0-1}}(\mathbb{R}^{1+n}_+)}. \tag{4.10}$$

By rearranging some terms in (4.10), then (4.10) directly follows from

$$\Big(\frac{\phi(T)}{\phi(T_0)}\Big)^{\frac{1}{q_0}-\frac{\nu}{2}}\delta^{\frac{1}{q_0}+\frac{\nu}{2}}\phi(T_0)^{-3\nu}\delta^{-\frac{3}{2}\nu}\delta_0^{-\nu}\,\|w^j\|_{L^{q_0}(\{(t,x):\frac{T}{2}\le t\le T,\delta\phi(T_0)\le\phi(t)-|x|\le 2\delta\phi(T_0)\})}\\ \le C\delta_0^{\frac{1}{q_0}}\,\|F^j\|_{L^{\frac{q_0}{q_0-1}}(\mathbb{R}^{1+n}_+)}. \tag{4.11}$$

Note that

$$\phi(T_0)^{-3\nu}\delta^{-\frac{3}{2}\nu}\delta_0^{-\nu}\le\phi(T_0)^{-3\nu}\delta_0^{-\frac{5}{2}\nu}=(\phi(T_0)\delta_0)^{-3\nu}\delta_0^{\frac{\nu}{2}}\le\delta_0^{\frac{\nu}{2}}\le 2^{\frac{\nu}{2}}.$$

Therefore, (4.11) follows from

$$\Big(\frac{\phi(T)}{\phi(T_0)}\Big)^{\frac{1}{q_0}-\frac{\nu}{2}}\delta^{\frac{1}{q_0}+\frac{\nu}{2}}\,\|w^j\|_{L^{q_0}(\{(t,x):\frac{T}{2}\le t\le T,\delta\phi(T_0)\le\phi(t)-|x|\le 2\delta\phi(T_0)\})}\\ \le C\delta_0^{\frac{1}{q_0}}\,\|F^j\|_{L^{\frac{q_0}{q_0-1}}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)}. \tag{4.12}$$

We next start to prove (4.12). Set $G^j(t,x)=:T_0^2F^j(T_0t,T_0^{\frac{m+2}{2}}x)$ and $v^j(t,x)=:w^j(T_0t,T_0^{\frac{m+2}{2}}x)$ for $j=0,1$. Then $v^j$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases}\partial_t^2v^j-t^m\triangle v^j=G^j(t,x),\\ v^j(0,x)=0,\quad \partial_tv^j(0,x)=0,\end{cases} \tag{4.13}$$

where $\operatorname{supp}G^j\subseteq\{(t,x):1\le t\le 2,\delta_0\le\phi(t)-|x|\le 2\delta_0\}$. Then, if we let $T$ denote by $\frac{T}{T_0}$, then (4.12) is a result of

$$\phi(T)^{\frac{1}{q_0}-\frac{\nu}{2}}\delta^{\frac{1}{q_0}+\frac{\nu}{2}}\,\|v^j\|_{L^{q_0}(\{(t,x):\frac{T}{2}\le t\le T,\delta\le\phi(t)-|x|\le 2\delta\})}\le C\delta_0^{\frac{1}{q_0}}\,\|G^j\|_{L^{\frac{q_0}{q_0-1}}(\mathbb{R}^{1+n}_+)}. \tag{4.14}$$

After this reduction, by (4.5), we have that $T/T_0\ge\phi^{-1}\big(10\phi(2)\big)$ holds for $(t,x)\in\operatorname{supp}w^0$ and $T/2\le t\le T$, or equivalently, $T\ge\phi^{-1}\big(10\phi(2)\big)$ holds for $(t,x)\in\operatorname{supp}v^0$ and $T/2\le t\le T$, which is called the relatively "large time". On the other hand, $1\le T/T_0\le\phi^{-1}\big(10\phi(2)\big)$ holds for $(t,x)\in\operatorname{supp}w^1$ and $\frac{T}{2}\le t\le T$, or equivalently, $1\le T\le\phi^{-1}\big(10\phi(2)\big)$ holds for $(t,x)\in\operatorname{supp}v^1$ and $\frac{T}{2}\le t\le T$, which is called the relatively "small time". In Subsection 4.1 and Subsection 4.2, we shall handle the two cases respectively. For the concision of notation, in the following subsections, we will omit the superscript $j$ and prove

$$\phi(T)^{\frac{1}{q_0}-\frac{\nu}{2}}\delta^{\frac{1}{q_0}+\frac{\nu}{2}}\,\|v\|_{L^{q_0}(\{(t,x):\frac{T}{2}\le t\le T,\delta\le\phi(t)-|x|\le 2\delta\})}\le C\delta_0^{\frac{1}{q_0}}\,\|G\|_{L^{\frac{q_0}{q_0-1}}(\mathbb{R}^{1+n}_+)}. \tag{4.15}$$

## 4.1 Estimate for large time

We first deal with the case of "relative large time" in (4.15), which means $\phi(T) \geq 10\phi(2)$.

The proof of (4.15) (corresponding to the estimate of $w^0$) can be divided into the following three parts according to the different values of $\delta/\delta_0$:

Case (i) $\delta_0 \leq \delta \leq 40 \cdot \frac{\phi(2)}{\phi(1)}\delta_0$;

Case (ii) $\delta \geq 10\phi(2)$;

Case (iii) $40 \cdot \frac{\phi(2)}{\phi(1)}\delta_0 \leq \delta \leq 10\phi(2), \delta_0 < \frac{1}{4}\phi(1)$.

**Remark 4.1.** *We add the condition* $\delta_0 < \frac{1}{4}\phi(1)$ *in Case (iii) for the reason that* $40 \cdot \frac{\phi(2)}{\phi(1)}\delta_0 < 10\phi(2)$ *makes sense only for* $\delta_0 < \frac{1}{4}\phi(1)$.

Here we point out that for the wave equation and Case (i)- Case (ii), it is rather direct to establish the analogous inequality (4.15) (see (3.2) and §3 of [7]). However, for the Tricomi equation, due to the complexity of its fundamental solution and degeneracy, it needs more delicate and involved techniques from the knowledge of microlocal analysis to get the pointwise estimate of $v$. For the proof of (4.15) in case (iii), we shall utilize some basic ideas in [7].

### 4.1.1 The proof of (4.15) in Case (i)

Note that $\phi(T) > \phi(T_0) \geq 1$ and $\delta\phi(T_0) \leq \phi(T)$. To prove (4.15), it suffices to show

$$\phi(T)^{\frac{1}{q_0}} \parallel v \parallel_{L^{q_0}(\{(t,x):\frac{T}{2}\leq t\leq T, \delta\leq\phi(t)-|x|\leq 2\delta\})} \leq C \parallel G \parallel_{L^{\frac{q_0}{q_0-1}}(\mathbb{R}^{1+n}_+)}. \tag{4.16}$$

Use the method in Lemma 3.3 of [9], if we write

$$v(t,x) = \int_0^t H(t,s,x)\mathrm{d}s, \tag{4.17}$$

we then arrive at

**Claim 4.1.**

$$\parallel H(t,s,\cdot) \parallel_{L^{q_0}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C|t-s|^{-\frac{2}{q_0}(1+\frac{m}{4})} \parallel G(s,\cdot) \parallel_{L^{\frac{q_0}{q_0-1}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{4.18}$$

*Proof of claim.* If we repeat the reduction of (3.23)-(3.24) in [9], we then have $H = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} H_j$, where

$$H_j =: T_j G(t,s,x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i(x\cdot\xi+(\phi(t)-\phi(s))|\xi|)}\beta(\frac{|\xi|}{2^j})a(t,s,\xi)\hat{G}(s,\xi)\mathrm{d}\xi, \tag{4.19}$$

where the cut-off function $\beta$ was defined in (2.11) and the amplitude function $a$ satisfies

$$\left|\partial_\xi^\beta a(t,s,\xi)\right| \leq C\big(1+\phi(t)|\xi|\big)^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}}\big(1+\phi(s)|\xi|\big)^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}}|\xi|^{-\frac{2}{m+2}-|\beta|}. \tag{4.20}$$

If we further set

$$\bar{T}_j G(t,s,x) =: \lambda_j^{\frac{2m}{(m+2)((m+2)n+2)}} H_j(t,s,x) \quad \text{with } \lambda_j = 2^j,$$

and repeat the computation of (3.29) and (3.30) in [9], we then obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\| \bar{T}_j G(t,s,\cdot) \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \lambda_j^{-\frac{2}{m+2}} C\big(1+\lambda_j\phi(t)\big)^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}\cdot\frac{(m+2)n-2}{(m+2)n+2}} \\ \times |t-s|^{-\frac{m}{(m+2)n+2}} \| G(s,\cdot) \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\| \bar{T}_j G(t,s,\cdot) \|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C\lambda_j^{\frac{n+1}{2}-\frac{2}{m+2}} \big(1+\lambda_j\phi(t)\big)^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}\cdot\frac{(m+2)n-2}{(m+2)n+2}} \\ \times |t-s|^{-\frac{m}{(m+2)n+2}} |t-s|^{-\frac{n-1}{2}\cdot\frac{m+2}{2}} \| G(s,\cdot) \|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} .\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if $j \geq 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\| \bar{T}_j G(t,s,\cdot) \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq C\lambda_j^{-\frac{2}{m+2}} \big(1+\phi(t)\big)^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}\cdot\frac{(m+2)n-2}{(m+2)n+2}} |t-s|^{-\frac{m}{(m+2)n+2}} \| G(s,\cdot) \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq C\lambda_j^{-\frac{2}{m+2}} (t-s)^{-\frac{m}{4}\cdot\frac{(m+2)n-2}{(m+2)n+2}} |t-s|^{-\frac{m}{(m+2)n+2}} \| G(s,\cdot) \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\bar{T}_j G(t,s,\cdot) \|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq C\lambda_j^{\frac{n+1}{2}-\frac{2}{m+2}} (t-s)^{-\frac{m}{4}\cdot\frac{(m+2)n-2}{(m+2)n+2}} |t-s|^{-\frac{n-1}{2}\cdot\frac{m+2}{2}} |t-s|^{-\frac{m}{(m+2)n+2}} \| G(s,\cdot) \|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} .\end{aligned}$$

Using the interpolation and direct computation, we have that for $j \geq 0$,

$$\| H_j(t,s,\cdot) \|_{L^{q_0}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C(t-s)^{-(\frac{m}{4}+1)\cdot\frac{2}{q_0}} \| G(s,\cdot) \|_{L^{\frac{q_0}{q_0-1}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} . \tag{4.21}$$

For $j < 0$, let

$$T_- G(t,s,x) \equiv \sum_{j<0} H_j(t,s,x) = \int_{|\xi|\leq 1} e^{i(x\cdot\xi+(\phi(t)-\phi(s))|\xi|)} a(t,s,\xi)\hat{G}(s,\xi)d\xi.$$

Then it follows from Plancherel's identity that

$$\| T_- G(t,s,\cdot) \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C\Big( \int_{|\xi|\leq 1} \Big| |\xi|^{-\frac{2}{m+2}} \big(1+\phi(t)|\xi|\big)^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}} \hat{G}(s,\xi) \Big|^2 \mathrm{d}\xi \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Note that

$$|\hat{G}(s,\xi)| = |\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-iy\cdot\xi} G(s,y)\mathrm{d}y| = |\int_{|y|\leq\phi(2)} e^{-iy\cdot\xi} G(s,y)\mathrm{d}y| \leq C \| G(s,\cdot) \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big( \int_{|\xi|\leq 1} \big| |\xi|^{-\frac{2}{m+2}} (1+\phi(t)|\xi|)^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}} \big|^2 \mathrm{d}\xi \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= C\Big( \int_0^1 \big| r^{-\frac{2}{m+2}} (1+\phi(t)r)^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}} \big|^2 r^{n-1} \mathrm{d}r \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C\Big( \int_0^1 r^{n-1-\frac{m+4}{m+2}} \phi(t)^{-\frac{m}{m+2}} \mathrm{d}r \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C\phi(t)^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}},\end{aligned}$$

here we have used the fact of $n-1-\frac{m+4}{m+2} \geq -\frac{2}{m+2} > -1$ for $n \geq 3$ and $m \geq 1$. Thus,

$$\| T_-G(t,s,\cdot) \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C\phi(t)^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}} \| G(s,\cdot) \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C|t-s|^{-\frac{m}{4}} \| G(s,\cdot) \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} .$$

Similarly, one has

$$\| T_-G(t,s,\cdot) \|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C|t-s|^{-\frac{m}{4}-\frac{n-1}{2}\cdot\frac{m+2}{2}} \| G(s,\cdot) \|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} .$$

Using interpolation again, we get

$$\| T_-G(t,s,\cdot) \|_{L^{q_0}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C|t-s|^{-\frac{2}{q_0}(1+\frac{m}{4})} \| G(s,\cdot) \|_{L^{\frac{q_0}{q_0-1}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} . \tag{4.22}$$

Then by Littlewood-Paley theory, (4.21) and (4.22), (4.18) in **Claim 4.1** is derived. □

With **Claim 4.1**, noting that $t \lesssim t-s$ holds on the support of $G(s,x)$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\| v \|_{L^{q_0}([\frac{T}{2},T]\times\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq & \Big\| \int_0^t \| u(t,s,\cdot) \|_{L^{q_0}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \,\mathrm{d}s \Big\|_{L_t^{q_0}([\frac{T}{2},T])} \\
\leq & C\Big\| \int_0^t |t-s|^{-\frac{2}{q_0}(1+\frac{m}{4})} \| G(s,\cdot) \|_{L_x^{\frac{q_0}{q_0-1}}} \,\mathrm{d}s \Big\|_{L_t^{q_0}} \\
\leq & C\Big\| |t|^{-\frac{2}{q_0}(1+\frac{m}{4})} \int_1^2 \| G(s,\cdot) \|_{L_x^{\frac{q_0}{q_0-1}}} \,\mathrm{d}s \Big\|_{L_t^{q_0}} \\
\leq & C\Big( \int_{\frac{T}{2}}^T t^{(-\frac{2}{q_0}(1+\frac{m}{4}))q_0} \mathrm{d}t \Big)^{\frac{1}{q_0}} \| G \|_{L^{\frac{q_0}{q_0-1}}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})} \qquad \text{(Hölder's inequality)} \\
\leq & CT^{-\frac{1}{q_0}\frac{m+2}{2}} \| G \|_{L^{\frac{q_0}{q_0-1}}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})} \\
\leq & C\phi(T)^{-\frac{1}{q_0}} \| G \|_{L^{\frac{q_0}{q_0-1}}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})},
\end{aligned}$$

which derives (4.16).

### 4.1.2 The proof of (4.15) in Case (ii)

In this case, we have $\phi(t) - |x| \geq \delta \geq 10\phi(2)$. As in (4.17) and (4.19)-(4.20), we can write

$$v = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} v_j = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K_j(t,x;s,y) G(s,y) dy ds,$$

where

$$K_j(t,x;s,y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i((x-y)\cdot\xi + (\phi(t)-\phi(s))|\xi|)} \beta(\frac{|\xi|}{2^j}) a(t,s,\xi) \hat{G}(s,\xi) d\xi, \tag{4.23}$$

moreover, as in (3.41) of [9] for $\lambda_j = 2^j$ and any $N \in \mathbb{R}^+$,

$$\begin{aligned}
|K_j(t,x;s,y)| \leq & C_N \lambda_j^{\frac{n+1}{2}-\frac{2}{m+2}} \big( |\phi(t)-\phi(s)| + \lambda_j^{-1} \big)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} \big( 1+\phi(t)\lambda_j \big)^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}} \\
& \times \Big( 1+\lambda_j \big| |\phi(t)-\phi(s)| - |x-y| \big| \Big)^{-N}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.24}$$

Denote $D_{s,y}=\{(s,y):1\le s\le 2,\phi(s)-2\delta_0\le|y|\le\phi(s)-\delta_0\}$. By Hölder's inequality and the compact support property of $G(s,y)$ with respect to the variable $(s,y)$, we arrive at

$$|v_j|\le\Big\|K_j(t,x;s,y)\big(\phi(t)^2-|x|^2\big)^{\frac{1}{q_0}}\Big\|_{L^{q_0}(D_{s,y})}\Big\|\big(\phi(t)^2-|x|^2\big)^{-\frac{1}{q_0}}G(s,y)\Big\|_{L^{\frac{q_0}{q_0-1}}(D_{s,y})}.$$

In addition, applying the compact support property of $G(s,y)$, it is easy to check

$$\phi(t)-\phi(s)-|x-y|\ge C(\phi(t)-|x|),\quad \phi(t)-\phi(s)+|x-y|\sim\phi(t).$$

Based on this, let $N=\frac{n}{2}-\frac{1}{m+2}$ in (4.24), we then have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big\|K_j(t,x;s,y)\big(\phi(t)^2-|x|^2\big)^{\frac{1}{q_0}}\Big\|_{L^{q_0}(D_{s,y})}\\
&\le C\Big(\int_1^2\int_{\phi(s)-2\delta_0\le|y|\le\phi(s)-\delta_0}\{\lambda_j^{\frac{n+1}{2}-\frac{2}{m+2}}\big(|\phi(t)-\phi(s)|+\lambda_j^{-1}\big)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}(1+\phi(t)\lambda_j)^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}}\\
&\qquad\times\Big(1+\lambda_j\big||\phi(t)-\phi(s)|-|x-y|\big|\Big)^{\frac{1}{m+2}-\frac{n}{2}}\}^{q_0}\phi(t)(\phi(t)-|x|)\mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}s\Big)^{\frac{1}{q_0}}\\
&\le C\phi(t)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}+\frac{1}{q_0}-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}}\big(\phi(t)-|x|\big)^{\frac{1}{m+2}-\frac{n}{2}+\frac{1}{q_0}}\Big(\int_1^2\int_{\phi(s)-2\delta_0\le|y|\le\phi(s)-\delta_0}\mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}s\Big)^{\frac{1}{q_0}}\\
&\le C\delta_0^{\frac{1}{q_0}}\phi(t)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}+\frac{1}{q_0}-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}}\big(\phi(t)-|x|\big)^{\frac{1}{m+2}-\frac{n}{2}+\frac{1}{q_0}}
\end{aligned}\tag{4.25}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big(\int_1^2\int_{\phi(s)-2\delta_0\le|y|\le\phi(s)-\delta_0}\big\{(\phi(t)^2-|x|^2)^{-\frac{1}{q_0}}G(s,y)\big\}^{\frac{q_0}{q_0-1}}\mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}s\Big)^{\frac{q_0-1}{q_0}}\\
&\le C\big(\delta\phi(T_0)\phi(T)\big)^{-\frac{1}{q_0}}\parallel G\parallel_{L^{\frac{q_0}{q_0-1}}(\mathbb{R}^{1+n}_+)}\\
&\le C\big(\delta\phi(T)\big)^{-\frac{1}{q_0}}\parallel G\parallel_{L^{\frac{q_0}{q_0-1}}(\mathbb{R}^{1+n}_+)}.
\end{aligned}\tag{4.26}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big\|\phi(t)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}+\frac{1}{q_0}-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}}\big(\phi(t)-|x|\big)^{\frac{1}{m+2}-\frac{n}{2}+\frac{1}{q_0}}\Big\|_{L^{q_0}([\frac{T}{2},T]\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\\
&=C_n\Big(\int_{\frac{T}{2}}^T\phi(t)^{-\frac{q_0}{2}(n-\frac{2}{m+2})+1}\int_0^{\phi(t)-10\phi(2)}\big(\phi(t)-r\big)^{q_0(\frac{1}{m+2}-\frac{n}{2})+1}r^{n-1}\mathrm{d}r\mathrm{d}t\Big)^{\frac{1}{q_0}}\\
&\le C\Big(\int_{\frac{T}{2}}^T\phi(t)^{-\frac{2}{m+2}}\mathrm{d}t\Big)^{\frac{1}{q_0}}\\
&\le C.
\end{aligned}\tag{4.27}$$

Therefore, combining (4.25)-(4.27) yields

$$\begin{aligned}\| v_j \|_{L^{q_0}(\{(t,x):\frac{T}{2}\le t\le T,\delta\le\phi(t)-|x|\le 2\delta\})}\le& C\delta_0^{\frac{1}{q_0}}(\delta\phi(T))^{-\frac{1}{q_0}} \| G \|_{L^{\frac{q_0}{q_0-1}}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)}\\ =& C\delta_0^{\frac{1}{q_0}}\phi(T)^{-\frac{1}{q_0}+\frac{\nu}{2}}\delta^{-\frac{1}{q_0}-\frac{\nu}{2}}\Big(\frac{\delta}{\phi(T)}\Big)^{\frac{\nu}{2}} \| G \|_{L^{\frac{q_0}{q_0-1}}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)}\\ \le& C\delta_0^{\frac{1}{q_0}}\phi(T)^{-\frac{1}{q_0}+\frac{\nu}{2}}\delta^{-\frac{1}{q_0}-\frac{\nu}{2}} \| G \|_{L^{\frac{q_0}{q_0-1}}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)},\end{aligned}\tag{4.28}$$

here we have used the fact of $\delta\lesssim\phi(T)$ due to $2\delta\phi(T_0)\le\phi(T)$ and $\phi(T_0)\ge 1$. Then (4.28) together with Lemma A.1 yields estimate (4.15) in Case (ii).

### 4.1.3 The proof of (4.15) in Case (iii)

Motivated by the ideas in §3 of [7], we shall separate the related Fourier integral operator in the expression of $v$ into a high frequency part and a low frequency part, subsequently we handle them with different techniques respectively. First, note that the solution $w$ of (4.13) can be expressed as

$$v(t,x)=\int_0^t\{V_2(t,D_x)V_1(s,D_x)-V_1(t,D_x)V_2(s,D_x)\}G(s,x)\mathrm{d}s,$$

where the definitions of $V_j$ ($j=1,2$ are given in (2.3)-(2.4). Then by the analogous analysis in Lemma 3.4 of [9], we have

$$v(t,x)=\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}e^{i(x\cdot\xi+(\phi(t)-\phi(s))|\xi|)}a(t,s,\xi)\hat{G}(s,\xi)\mathrm{d}\xi\mathrm{d}s,\tag{4.29}$$

where the amplitude function $a$ satisfies for $\beta\in\mathbb{N}_0^n$,

$$\big|\partial_\xi^\beta a(t,s,\xi)\big|\le C\big(1+\phi(t)|\xi|\big)^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}}\big(1+\phi(s)|\xi|\big)^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}}|\xi|^{-\frac{2}{m+2}-|\beta|}.\tag{4.30}$$

To show (4.15), note that $1\le s\le 2$, we then introduce and deal with such an operator for $\mu\in(0,\frac{m}{2(m+2)}]$,

$$v_\mu(t,x)=\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}e^{i((x-y)\cdot\xi\pm(\phi(t)-\phi(s))|\xi|)}G(s,y)\big(1+\phi(t)|\xi|\big)^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}}\frac{\mathrm{d}\xi}{|\xi|^{\frac{2}{m+2}+\mu}}\mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}s.$$

Here we point out that the appearance of the factor $\frac{1}{|\xi|^\mu}$ with $\mu>0$ in $v_\mu(t,x)$ will play an important role in estimating $w(t,x)$ (one can see (4.41) below).

Set $\tau=\phi(s)-|y|$. Applying Hölder's inequality, one then has that

$$\begin{aligned}|v_\mu|\le C\delta_0^{\frac{1}{q_0}}\Big(\int_{\delta_0}^{2\delta_0}\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}e^{i((x-y)\cdot\xi\pm(\phi(t)-\tau-|y|)|\xi|)}G\big(\phi^{-1}(\tau+|y|),y\big)\big(1+\phi(t)|\xi|\big)^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}}\\ \times\frac{\mathrm{d}\xi}{|\xi|^{\frac{2}{m+2}+\mu}}\mathrm{d}y\Big|^{\frac{q_0}{q_0-1}}\mathrm{d}\tau\Big)^{\frac{q_0-1}{q_0}}.\end{aligned}\tag{4.31}$$

To treat the integral in (4.31), it is enough to consider the phase function with sign minus since for the case of sign plus the related analysis can be done in the same way. Note that we have the assertions:

$$\delta_0 \le \frac{1}{4}\phi(1) \tag{4.32}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2}\phi(1) \le |y| \le \phi(2). \tag{4.33}$$

Indeed, by $|y| \le \phi(s) \le \phi(2)$, one then has $|y| \ge \phi(s) - 2\delta_0 \ge \frac{1}{2}\phi(1)$ if $\delta_0 \le \frac{1}{4}\phi(1)$. However, if $\delta_0 > \frac{1}{4}\phi(1)$, then $10\phi(2) < 40 \cdot \frac{\phi(2)}{\phi(1)}\delta_0 \le \delta \le 10\phi(2)$ holds, which yields a contradiction.

Next we start to estimate $\|v_\mu\|_{L_x^{q_0}}$. First note that by (4.5),

$$\phi(t) \ge \phi(\frac{T}{2T_0}) = \frac{\phi(T)}{\phi(2T_0)} \cdot \frac{2}{m+2} \ge 10\frac{\phi(2)}{\phi(1)} \cdot \phi(1) = 10\phi(2),$$

this together with $\tau < \phi(s) < \phi(2)$ yields $\phi(t) \ge \phi(t) - \tau > \frac{1}{2}\phi(t)$. Thus we can replace $\phi(t) - \tau$ with $\phi(t)$ in (4.31) and consider

$$\begin{aligned}(T_\mu g)(t,x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\{y\in\mathbb{R}^n : \frac{1}{2}\phi(1)\le|y|\le\phi(2)\}} & e^{i[(x-y)\cdot\xi - (\phi(t)-|y|)|\xi|]} \\ & \times \big(1+\phi(t)|\xi|\big)^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}} g(y) \frac{\mathrm{d}\xi}{|\xi|^{\frac{2}{m+2}+\mu}} \mathrm{d}y,\end{aligned} \tag{4.34}$$

where $\phi(t) \ge 10\phi(2) - \phi(2) \ge 9\phi(2)$ and $\delta < 10\phi(2)$, then by Lemma A.2 in Appendix, (4.15) follows from

$$\| (T_\mu g)(t,\cdot) \|_{L^{q_0}(\{x:\delta\le\phi(t)-|x|\le 2\delta\})} \le C\phi(t)^{\frac{\nu}{2}-\frac{m+4}{q_0(m+2)}} \delta^{-\frac{\nu}{2}-\frac{1}{q_0}} \| g \|_{L^{\frac{q_0}{q_0-1}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{4.35}$$

Next we focus on the proof of (4.35). We shall use the complex interpolation method to establish (4.35). To do this, set for $z \in \mathbb{C}$

$$\begin{aligned}(T_z g)(t,x) = (z - \frac{(m+2)n+2}{2(m+2)}) e^{z^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} & e^{i((x-y)\cdot\xi - (\phi(t)-|y|)|\xi|)} \\ & \times \big(1+\phi(t)|\xi|\big)^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}} g(y) \frac{\mathrm{d}\xi}{|\xi|^z} \mathrm{d}y.\end{aligned} \tag{4.36}$$

For clearer statement on (4.35), we shall replace $\frac{\nu}{2}$ by $\frac{\nu}{q_0}$ and rewrite (4.35) as

$$\| (T_\mu g)(t,\cdot) \|_{L^{q_0}(\{x:\delta\le\phi(t)-|x|\le 2\delta\})} \le C\phi(t)^{\frac{\nu}{q_0}-\frac{m+4}{q_0(m+2)}} \delta^{-\frac{\nu}{q_0}-\frac{1}{q_0}} \| g \|_{L^{\frac{q_0}{q_0-1}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{4.37}$$

For some suitable scope of $\mu > 0$, then (4.37) would be a consequence of

$$\| (T_z g)(t,\cdot) \|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C\phi(t)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}+\mu} \| g \|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \quad \text{with } Rez = \frac{(m+2)n+2}{2(m+2)} + \mu, \tag{4.38}$$

and

$$\| (T_z g)(t,\cdot) \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C\phi(t)^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}} (\phi(t)^{\nu}\delta^{-(\nu+1)})^{\frac{1}{m+2}} \| g \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \quad \text{with } Rez = 0. \quad (4.39)$$

In fact, the interpolation between (4.39) and (4.38) gives

$$\| (T_\mu g)(t,\cdot) \|_{L^{q_0}(\{x:\delta\leq\phi(t)-|x|\leq 2\delta\})} \leq C\phi(t)^{\frac{2\nu}{q_0(m+2)}+\frac{4\mu}{(m+2)n+2}-\frac{m+4}{q_0(m+2)}} \delta^{-\frac{2(\nu+1)}{(m+2)q_0}} \| g \|_{L^{\frac{q_0}{q_0-1}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

By $\delta \leq 10\phi(2)$, it suffices to derive (4.37) only under the condition of $\frac{2\nu}{q_0(m+2)} + \frac{4\mu}{(m+2)n+2} \leq \frac{\nu}{q_0}$. Thus, if $0 < \mu \leq \min\big(\frac{m}{2(m+2)}, \frac{m((m+2)n+2)}{4(m+2)q_0}\nu\big)$, then (4.37) can be proved.

We now prove (4.38) by the stationary phase method. To this end, for the cut-off function $\beta$ in (2.11), $\lambda_j = 2^j$ with $j \geq 0$, and $z = \frac{(m+2)n+2}{2(m+2)} + \mu + i\theta$ with $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, we define and estimate the dyadic operator $T_z^j g$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} |T_z^j g| &= \Big|\theta e^{-\theta^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i[(x-y)\cdot\xi-(\phi(t)-|y|)|\xi|]}\beta(\frac{|\xi|}{\lambda_j})\big(1+\phi(t)|\xi|\big)^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}} g(y)\frac{\mathrm{d}\xi}{|\xi|^z}\mathrm{d}y\Big| \\ &\leq C\lambda_j^{\frac{n+1}{2}}\big(\phi(t)-\phi(2)\big)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}\big(\lambda_j\phi(t)\big)^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}}\lambda_j^{-\frac{(m+2)n+2}{2(m+2)}-\mu} \| g \|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq C\lambda_j^{-\mu}\phi(t)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}} \| g \|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.40)$$

Summing up (4.40) with respect to $j \geq 0$ yields

$$\Big\| \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} T_z^j g \Big\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C\phi(t)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}} \| g \|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \quad (4.41)$$

On the other hand, for $|\xi| \leq 1$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} &\Big|\theta e^{-\theta^2} \int_{|\xi|\leq 1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i[(x-y)\cdot\xi-(\phi(t)-|y|)|\xi|]}\big(1+\phi(t)|\xi|\big)^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}} g(y)\frac{\mathrm{d}\xi}{|\xi|^z}\mathrm{d}y\Big| \\ &\leq C \| g \|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \int_{|\xi|\leq 1}\big(1+\phi(t)|\xi|\big)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}}|\xi|^{-\frac{(m+2)n+2}{2(m+2)}-\mu}\mathrm{d}\xi \\ &\leq C \| g \|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \int_0^1 (1+\phi(t)r)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}} r^{-\frac{n}{2}-\frac{1}{m+2}-\mu} r^{n-1}\mathrm{d}r, \end{aligned} \quad (4.42)$$

here we have noted the fact of $n-1-\frac{n}{2}-\frac{1}{m+2}-\mu = \frac{n}{2}-1-\frac{1}{m+2}-\mu > -1$ for $0 < \mu \leq \frac{m}{2(m+2)}$, $m \geq 1$ and $n \geq 3$, thus the integral in last line of (4.42) is convergent. In order to give a precise estimate to (4.42), setting $\rho = (1+\phi(t)r)^{\frac{1}{2(m+2)}}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^1 (1+\phi(t)r)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}} r^{-\frac{n}{2}-\frac{1}{m+2}-\mu} r^{n-1}\mathrm{d}r \\
&= \int_1^{(1+\phi(t))^{\frac{1}{2(m+2)}}} \rho^{2-(m+2)n}\Big(\frac{\rho^{2(m+2)}-1}{\phi(t)}\Big)^{\frac{n}{2}-1-\frac{1}{m+2}-\mu}\mathrm{d}\Big(\frac{\rho^{2(m+2)}-1}{\phi(t)}\Big) \\
&\le C\phi(t)^{-\frac{n}{2}+\frac{1}{m+2}+\mu}\int_1^{(1+\phi(t))^{\frac{1}{2(m+2)}}} \frac{\rho^{(m+2)n-2(m+3)-2(m+2)\mu}\rho^{2(m+2)-1}}{\rho^{(m+2)n-2}}\mathrm{d}\rho \qquad (4.43)\\
&= C\phi(t)^{-\frac{n}{2}+\frac{1}{m+2}+\mu}\int_1^{(1+\phi(t))^{\frac{1}{2(m+2)}}} \rho^{-(m+2)\mu-1}\mathrm{d}\rho \\
&\le C_\mu\phi(t)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}+\mu}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus combining(4.42) and (4.43) yields (4.38) with a positive constant $C$ depends only on $m$, $n$ and $\mu$.

To get (4.39), the small frequencies and large frequencies will be treated separately in the Fourier integral operator of (4.36). As in [7], we shall use Sobolev trace theorem to handle the small frequency part. More specifically, we first introduce a function $\rho\in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$
\rho(\xi)=\begin{cases} 1, & |\xi|\ge 2,\\ 0, & |\xi|\le 1.\end{cases}
$$

For $\alpha=1+\nu$, let

$$
(T_zg)(t,x)=(R_zg)(t,x)+(S_zg)(t,x),
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
(R_zg)(t,x)=&\Big(z-\frac{(m+2)n+2}{2(m+2)}\Big)e^{z^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\frac12\phi(1)\le|y|\le\phi(2)} e^{i((x-y)\cdot\xi-(\phi(t)-|y|)|\xi|)}\\
&\times\big(1+\phi(t)|\xi|\big)^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}}\Big(1-\rho\big(\phi(t)^{1-\alpha}\delta^\alpha\xi\big)\Big)g(y)\frac{\mathrm{d}\xi}{|\xi|^z}\mathrm{d}y,\\
(S_zg)(t,x)=&\Big(z-\frac{(m+2)n+2}{2(m+2)}\Big)e^{z^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\frac12\phi(1)\le|y|\le\phi(2)} e^{i((x-y)\cdot\xi-(\phi(t)-|y|)|\xi|)}\\
&\times\big(1+\phi(t)|\xi|\big)^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}}\rho\big(\phi(t)^{1-\alpha}\delta^\alpha\xi\big)g(y)\frac{\mathrm{d}\xi}{|\xi|^z}\mathrm{d}y. \qquad (4.44)
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from Lemma A.3 and Lemma A.4 in Appendix that

$$
\|\,(R_zg)(t,\cdot)\,\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}\le C\phi(t)^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}}(\phi(t)^{\alpha-1}\delta^{-\alpha})^{\frac{1}{m+2}}\,\|\,g\,\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}\quad\text{for } Rez=0, \qquad (4.45)
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\,S_zg(t,\cdot)\,\|_{L^2(\{x:\delta\le\phi(t)-|x|\le2\delta\})}&\le C\delta^{-\frac12}(\phi(t)^\alpha\delta^{-\alpha})^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}}\,\|\,g\,\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\
&=C\phi(t)^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}}(\phi(t)^{\alpha-1}\delta^{-\alpha})^{\frac{1}{m+2}}\Big(\frac{\delta}{\phi(t)}\Big)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}}\,\|\,g\,\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}\qquad\text{for } Rez=0. \qquad (4.46)
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that (4.46) is actually stronger than (4.39) and (4.45) by our assumptions of $\delta \le \delta\phi(T_0) \le \phi(T)$ and $\frac{T}{2} \le t \le T$. (4.45) together with (4.46) yields (4.39).

Collecting all the analysis above in Case (i)- Case (iii), (4.15) is proved in the case of large time part.

## 4.2 Estimate for small time

Now we turn to the estimate of $w^1$, which corresponds to the small time part of $w$ in (4.1). Similar to the treatment on $w^0$ in the large time part of $w$, we shall prove (4.15).

As in the Subsection 4.1, we will divide the proof of (4.15) into the following two parts according to the different values of $\frac{\delta}{\delta_0}$:

(i) $\delta_0 \le \delta \le 40 \cdot \frac{\phi(2)}{\phi(1)}\delta_0$;

(ii) $40 \cdot \frac{\phi(2)}{\phi(1)}\delta_0 \le \delta \le \phi(T)$.

### 4.2.1 The proof of (4.15) in Case (i)

In this case, one has that $\phi(T) > \phi(T_0) \ge 1$ and $\delta\phi(T_0) \le \phi(T)$. To prove (4.15), it suffices to show

$$\phi(T)^{\frac{1}{q_0}} \parallel v \parallel_{L^{q_0}(\{(t,x):\frac{T}{2}\le t\le T, \delta\le\phi(t)-|x|\le 2\delta\})} \le C \parallel G \parallel_{L^{\frac{q_0}{q_0-1}}(\mathbb{R}^{1+n}_+)}. \tag{4.47}$$

By $\phi(1) \le \phi(T) \le 10\phi(2)$, we only need to prove

$$\parallel v \parallel_{L^{q_0}(\{(t,x):\frac{T}{2}\le t\le T, \delta\le\phi(t)-|x|\le 2\delta\})} \le C \parallel G \parallel_{L^{\frac{q_0}{q_0-1}}(\mathbb{R}^{1+n}_+)}. \tag{4.48}$$

But this just only follows from the un-weighted Strichartz estimate in [9] (see Lemma 3.4 of [9]).

### 4.2.2 The proof of (4.15) in Case (ii)

In this case, we only need to prove

$$\delta^{\frac{1}{q_0}+\frac{\nu}{2}} \parallel v \parallel_{L^{q_0}(\{(t,x):\frac{T}{2}\le t\le T, \delta\le\phi(t)-|x|\le 2\delta\})} \le C\phi(T)^{\frac{\nu}{2}}\delta_0^{\frac{1}{q_0}} \parallel G \parallel_{L^{\frac{q_0}{q_0-1}}(\mathbb{R}^{1+n}_+)}. \tag{4.49}$$

By (4.29)-(4.30), we can write

$$\begin{aligned} v = &\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i((x-y)\cdot\xi\pm(\phi(t)-\phi(s))|\xi|)}G(s,y) \\ &\times \big(1+\phi(t)|\xi|\big)^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}}\big(1+\phi(s)|\xi|\big)^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}}\frac{\mathrm{d}\xi}{|\xi|^{\frac{2}{m+2}}}\mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}s. \end{aligned} \tag{4.50}$$

Set $\tau = \phi(s) - |y|$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |v| \le &C\delta_0^{\frac{1}{q_0}}\Big(\int_{\delta_0}^{2\delta_0}\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i((x-y)\cdot\xi\pm(\phi(t)-\tau-|y|)|\xi|)}G(\phi^{-1}\big(\tau+|y|\big),y) \\ &\times \big(1+\phi(t)|\xi|\big)^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}}\big(1+\phi(s)|\xi|\big)^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}}\frac{\mathrm{d}\xi}{|\xi|^{\frac{2}{m+2}}}\mathrm{d}y\Big|^{\frac{q_0}{q_0-1}}d\tau\Big)^{\frac{q_0-1}{q_0}}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.51}$$

As in (4.32) and (4.33), one has $\delta_0 \le \frac{1}{4}\phi(1)$ and $\frac{1}{2}\phi(1) \le |y| \le \phi(2)$. Then

$$\tau = \phi(s) - |y| \le \phi(s) - \frac{1}{2}\phi(1).$$

This, together with $t \ge s$, yields

$$\frac{\phi(t)-\tau}{\phi(t)} \ge \frac{\phi(t)-\phi(s)+\phi(1)/2}{\phi(t)} \ge \frac{\phi(1)}{2\phi(t)} \ge \frac{\phi(1)}{20\phi(2)} > 0.$$

In addition, $\phi(t)-\tau \le \phi(t)$ holds. Thus, we can replace $\phi(t)-\tau$ with $\phi(t)$ in (4.51) and consider an operator as follows

$$(\tilde{T}g)(t,x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{D_y} e^{i((x-y)\cdot\xi-(\phi(t)-|y|)|\xi|)}\big(1+\phi(t)|\xi|\big)^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}}\big(1+\phi(s)|\xi|\big)^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}} g(y)\frac{\mathrm{d}\xi}{|\xi|^{\frac{2}{m+2}}}\mathrm{d}y,$$

where $D_y = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : \frac{1}{2}\phi(1) \le |y| \le \phi(2)\}$. By a computation similar to Lemma A.2, we know that (4.15) follows from

$$\| (\tilde{T}g)(t,\cdot) \|_{L^{q_0}(\{x:\delta\le\phi(t)-|x|\le 2\delta\})} \le C\phi(t)^{\frac{\nu}{2}}\delta^{-\frac{\nu}{2}-\frac{1}{q_0}} \| g \|_{L^{\frac{q_0}{q_0-1}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

As in (4.37), we shall replace $\frac{\nu}{2}$ with $\frac{\nu}{q_0}$ and prove

$$\| (\tilde{T}g)(t,\cdot) \|_{L^{q_0}(\{x:\delta\le\phi(t)-|x|\le 2\delta\})} \le C\phi(t)^{\frac{\nu}{q_0}}\delta^{-\frac{\nu}{q_0}-\frac{1}{q_0}} \| g \|_{L^{\frac{q_0}{q_0-1}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{4.52}$$

To deal with $\tilde{T}g$, we write

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{T}g \\
&= \int_{|\xi|\le 1}\int_{D_y} e^{i((x-y)\cdot\xi-(\phi(t)-|y|)|\xi|)}\big(1+\phi(t)|\xi|\big)^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}}\big(1+\phi(s)|\xi|\big)^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}}\frac{\mathrm{d}\xi}{|\xi|^{\frac{2}{m+2}}}g(y)\mathrm{d}y \\
&\quad + \int_{|\xi|>1}\int_{D_y} e^{i((x-y)\cdot\xi-(\phi(t)-|y|)|\xi|)}\big(1+\phi(t)|\xi|\big)^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}}\big(1+\phi(s)|\xi|\big)^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}}\frac{\mathrm{d}\xi}{|\xi|^{\frac{2}{m+2}}}g(y)\mathrm{d}y \\
&=: \tilde{T}^1 g + \tilde{T}^2 g.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.53}$$

By the uniform boundedness of $\phi(t)$ and $\phi(s)$ from below and above, we may consider

$$T^2 g = \int_{|\xi|>1}\int_{\{y\in\mathbb{R}^n:\frac{1}{2}\phi(1)\le|y|\le\phi(2)\}} e^{i((x-y)\cdot\xi-(\phi(t)-|y|)|\xi|)}g(y)\frac{\mathrm{d}\xi}{|\xi|}\mathrm{d}y$$

instead of $\tilde{T}^2 g$ in (4.53). Next we prove (4.52) for $\tilde{T}^1 g$ and $T^2 g$. As in the proof of previous "large time" part, we shall use the complex interpolation method to establish (4.52). More specifically, we set that for $z \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$T^1_z g = \int_{|\xi|\le 1}\int_{\{y\in\mathbb{R}^n:\frac{1}{2}\phi(1)\le|y|\le\phi(2)\}} e^{i[(x-y)\cdot\xi-(\phi(t)-|y|)|\xi|]}$$

$$\times \big(1+\phi(t)|\xi|\big)^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}}\big(1+\phi(s)|\xi|\big)^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}}\frac{\mathrm{d}\xi}{|\xi|^z}g(y)\mathrm{d}y$$

and

$$T_z^2 g=\int_{|\xi|>1}\int_{\{y\in\mathbb{R}^n:\frac{1}{2}\phi(1)\le|y|\le\phi(2)\}} e^{i[(x-y)\cdot\xi-(\phi(t)-|y|)|\xi|]}g(y)\frac{\mathrm{d}\xi}{|\xi|^z}\mathrm{d}y.$$

We now prove the following inequalities

$$\|T_z^1 g(t,\cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}\le\|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)},\quad \mathrm{Re}\, z=\frac{(m+2)n+2}{2(m+2)};\tag{4.54}$$

$$\|T_z^1 g(t,\cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}\le(\phi(t)^\nu\delta^{-(\nu+1)})^{\frac{1}{m+2}}\|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)},\quad \mathrm{Re}\, z=0;\tag{4.55}$$

$$\|T_z^2 g(t,\cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}\le\|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)},\quad \mathrm{Re}\, z=\frac{(m+2)n+2}{4};\tag{4.56}$$

$$\|T_z^2 g(t,\cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}\le(\phi(t)^\nu\delta^{-(\nu+1)})^{\frac{1}{m+2}}\|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)},\quad \mathrm{Re}\, z=0.\tag{4.57}$$

From (4.54)-(4.57), by the interpolation among the $L^1-L^\infty$ estimates ((4.54) and (4.56)) and the $L^2-L^2$ estimates ((4.55) and (4.57)), we get that for $2\le q_0\le\infty$ and $j=1,2$,

$$\begin{aligned}\|T_z^j g(t,\cdot)\|_{L^{q_0}(\mathbb{R}^n)}&\le C\big(\phi(t)^\nu\delta^{-(\nu+1)}\big)^{\frac{2}{(m+2)q_0}}\|g\|_{L^{\frac{q_0}{q_0-1}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\&=C\phi(t)^{-\frac{\nu}{q_0}\frac{m}{m+2}}\delta^{\frac{\nu+1}{q_0}\frac{m}{m+2}}\phi(t)^{\frac{\nu}{q_0}}\delta^{-\frac{\nu+1}{q_0}}\|g\|_{L^{\frac{q_0}{q_0-1}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\&\le C\phi(t)^{\frac{\nu}{q_0}}\delta^{-\frac{\nu+1}{q_0}}\|g\|_{L^{\frac{q_0}{q_0-1}}(\mathbb{R}^n)},\end{aligned}\tag{4.58}$$

here we have used the facts of $\phi(t)\ge\phi(1)$ and $\delta\le 10\phi(2)$ in the last inequality. From (4.58), (4.52) is then proved.

Next we start to establish (4.54)-(4.57).

**$\mathbf{L^1-L^\infty}$ estimates:** At first, we derive the $L^1-L^\infty$ estimate for $T_z^1 g$. It follows from direct computation that

$$\begin{aligned}|T_z^1 g|&\le\left|\int_{|\xi|\le1}|\xi|^{-\frac{(m+2)n+2}{2(m+2)}}\mathrm{d}\xi\right|\|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\&\le C\left|\int_{r\le1}r^{-\frac{n}{2}-\frac{1}{m+2}}r^{n-1}\mathrm{d}r\right|\|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\&\le C\|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)},\quad \mathrm{Re}\, z=\frac{(m+2)n+2}{2(m+2)}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus (4.54) is established. To study $T_z^2 g$, we shall introduce and estimate the corresponding dyadic operators as in (4.19). Let $\mathrm{Re}\, z=\frac{(m+2)n+2}{4}$ and $\mathrm{Im}\, z=\theta$, $\lambda_j=2^j$, then for $j\ge0$,

$$\begin{aligned}|T_z^{2,j}g|&=\left|\theta e^{-\theta^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{|\xi|\ge1}e^{i[(x-y)\cdot\xi-(\phi(t)-|y|)|\xi|]}\beta\big(\frac{|\xi|}{\lambda_j}\big)g(y)\frac{\mathrm{d}\xi}{|\xi|^z}\mathrm{d}y\right|\\&\le C\lambda_j^n\lambda_j^{-\frac{(m+2)n+2}{4}}\|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}.\end{aligned}$$

If $m \geq 2$, then

$$|T_z^{2,j} g| \leq C\lambda_j^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Summing up $T_z^{2,j} g$ with respect to $j$ yields (4.56).

For the case of $m = 1$, we require a more careful analysis on $T_z^{2,j} g$ by applying the stationary phase method. For this purpose, choosing a $\kappa > 0$ such that $-\frac{n}{4} + \frac{1}{2} - \kappa > -\frac{n-1}{2}$, then it follows from the stationary phase method that for $\operatorname{Re} z = \frac{3n+2}{4}$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\Big| \int_{|\xi|\geq 1} e^{i[(x-y)\cdot\xi - (\phi(t)-|y|)|\xi|]} \beta\big(\frac{|\xi|}{\lambda_j}\big) \frac{\mathrm{d}\xi}{|\xi|^z} \Big| \\ &\qquad \leq C\lambda_j^n \lambda_j^{-\frac{3n+2}{4}} \Big(1 + \big(\phi(t) - |y|\big)\lambda_j\Big)^{-\frac{n}{4}+\frac{1}{2}-\kappa}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.59}$$

Note that as in (4.34), the factor $\phi(t)$ in (4.59) is actually $\phi(t) - \tau$, then

$$\phi(t) - |y| = \phi(t) - \tau - |y| = \phi(t) - \phi(s).$$

Recall that by our assumption, $\operatorname{supp} v \subseteq \{(t,x) : \delta \leq \phi(t) - |x| \leq 2\delta, T/2 \leq t \leq T\}$ and $\operatorname{supp} G \subseteq \{(s,y) : \delta_0 \leq \phi(s) - |y| \leq 2\delta_0, 1 \leq s \leq 2\}$. Together with $40 \cdot \frac{\phi(2)}{\phi(1)}\delta_0 \leq \delta$, this yields $\phi(t) - \phi(s) \geq C\delta$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\Big| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{|\xi|\geq 1} e^{i[(x-y)\cdot\xi - (\phi(t)-|y|)|\xi|]} \beta\big(\frac{|\xi|}{\lambda_j}\big) g(y) \frac{\mathrm{d}\xi}{|\xi|^z} \mathrm{d}y \Big| \\ &\qquad \leq C\lambda_j^n \lambda_j^{-\frac{3n+2}{4}} \big(\delta\lambda_j\big)^{-\frac{n}{4}+\frac{1}{2}-\kappa} \|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\qquad \leq C\lambda_j^{-\kappa} \delta^{-\frac{n}{4}+\frac{1}{2}-\kappa} \|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.60}$$

Subsequently,

$$|T_z^{2,j} g| \leq C\lambda_j^{-\kappa} \delta^{-\frac{n}{4}+\frac{1}{2}-\kappa} \|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \qquad \text{with } \operatorname{Re} z = \frac{3n+2}{4}. \tag{4.61}$$

Summering up all the estimates on $j \geq 0$ in (4.61), we get

$$|T_z^2 g| \leq C\delta^{-\frac{n}{4}+\frac{1}{2}-\kappa} \|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \qquad \text{with } \operatorname{Re} z = \frac{3n+2}{4}. \tag{4.62}$$

In order to apply (4.62) to establish (4.52), we shall need a new version of (4.57). More specifically, for certain $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, we intend to show

$$\|T_z^2 g(t,\cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq (\phi(t)^\nu \delta^{-\gamma})^{\frac{1}{3}} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \qquad \text{with } \operatorname{Re} z = 0. \tag{4.63}$$

If this is done, by interpolating (4.63) with (4.62), we then have

$$\|T_z^j g(t,\cdot)\|_{L^{q_0}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C\phi(t)^{-\frac{\nu}{3q_0}} \phi(t)^{\frac{\nu}{q_0}} \delta^{-\frac{\gamma}{3}\frac{2}{q_0} + \left(-\frac{n}{4}+\frac{1}{2}-\kappa\right)\left(1-\frac{2}{q_0}\right)} \|g\|_{L^{\frac{q_0}{q_0-1}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{4.64}$$

Note that $\delta \leq 10\phi(2)$. Then in order to get (4.52) by (4.64), we only need

$$-\frac{\gamma}{3}\frac{2}{q_0} + \big(-\frac{n}{4} + \frac{1}{2} - \kappa\big)\big(1 - \frac{2}{q_0}\big) \geq -(\nu+1)\frac{1}{q_0}, \tag{4.65}$$

which means

$$\gamma < \frac{1}{2} + \frac{3\nu}{2} + \frac{4-12\kappa}{3n-2}.$$

This can be easily achieved. Indeed, if $n = 3$, one can let $\kappa$ be sufficiently small, then $\gamma > 1$ is chosen; if $n \geq 4$, we can choose $\gamma > \frac{1}{2}$.

To prove (4.63) for suitably chosen $\gamma$ above, we set $\alpha = 1 + \nu$ and replace the cut-off function $\rho\big(\phi(t)^{1-\alpha}\delta^{\alpha}\xi\big)$ in (4.44) with $\rho\big(\phi(t)^{1-\alpha}\delta^{\gamma}\xi\big)$. Then (4.63) can be obtained by repeating the arguments of (4.45) and (4.46) with slight modifications, here we omit the details.

**$\mathbf{L^2 - L^2}$ estimates:** Since (4.57) has been replaced by (4.63) for $m = 1$, the left things are to prove (4.55) for all $m \geq 1$ and (4.57) for $m \geq 2$. For this purpose, one just notes that $|x| \leq \phi(t) \leq 10\phi(2)$ holds, thereafter the integral domain of $v$ in (4.50) is bounded, thus it only suffices to repeat the proofs in Subsection 4.1.3 to get (4.55) and (4.57), and the related details can be omitted here.

# 5 The proof of (3.4)

Suppose that $w$ solves (3.1), where $F \equiv 0$ if $\phi(t) - |x| < 1$. By Theorem 2.1 of [29], we have

$$\| w(t,\cdot) \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq Ct \int_0^t \| F(s,\cdot) \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \, \mathrm{d}s,$$

which yields that for $0 \leq t \leq 5$,

$$\| w \|_{L^2([0,5]\times\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \| F \|_{L^2([0,5]\times\mathbb{R}^n)} .$$

Note that $\phi(t) - |x|$ is bounded from below and above for $0 \leq t \leq 5$, thus for any $\nu > 0$,

$$\Big\| \big(\phi(t)^2 - |x|^2\big)^{\frac{m-2}{2(m+2)}-\nu} w \Big\|_{L^2([0,5]\times\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \Big\| \big(\phi(t)^2 - |x|^2\big)^{\frac{1}{2}+\nu} F \Big\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)}. \tag{5.1}$$

Next we suppose $T \geq 10$. We split $w$ as $w = w^0 + w^1$, where for $j = 0, 1$,

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t^2 - t^m\Delta) w^j = F^j, \\ w^j(0,x) = 0, \quad \partial_t w^j(0,x) = 0 \end{cases}$$

with

$$F^0 = \begin{cases} F, & \phi(t) \leq \dfrac{\phi(1)\phi(T)}{10\phi(2)}, \\ 0, & \phi(t) > \dfrac{\phi(T)\phi(1)}{10\phi(2)} \end{cases}$$

and $F = F^0 + F^1$. Then in order to prove (3.4), it suffices to show that for $j = 0$, 1,

$$\Big\| \big(\phi(t)^2 - |x|^2\big)^{\frac{m-2}{2(m+2)}-\nu} w^j \Big\|_{L^2(\{(t,x):\frac{T}{2}\leq t\leq T\})} \leq C\phi(T)^{-\frac{\nu}{4}} \Big\| \big(\phi(t)^2 - |x|^2\big)^{\frac{1}{2}+\nu} F^j \Big\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)}. \tag{5.2}$$

Note that by the analogous treatment on $w^j$ as in (4.6)-(4.15), (5.2) would follow from

$$\phi(T)^{\frac{m-2}{2(m+2)}-\frac{\nu}{2}}\delta^{\frac{m-2}{2(m+2)}+\frac{\nu}{2}} \parallel v \parallel_{L^2(\{(t,x):\frac{T}{2}\le t\le T,\delta\le\phi(t)-|x|\le 2\delta\})}\le C\delta_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \parallel G \parallel_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)}, \qquad (5.3)$$

where $\operatorname{supp} G \subseteq \{(t,x) : 1 \le t \le 2, \delta_0 \le \phi(t) - |x| \le 2\delta_0\}$, and $\delta \ge \delta_0$.

## 5.1 Estimate of $w^0$

Note that $\phi(T) \ge 10\phi(2)$ holds for $(t,x) \in \operatorname{supp} w^0$. As in Section 4, we shall deal with the estimates in different cases according to the different scales of $\delta$.

### 5.1.1 $\delta \ge 10\phi(2)$

As in Subsection 4.1.1, we shall use the pointwise estimate to handle the case of $\phi(t) - |x| \ge \delta \ge 10\phi(2)$. We now write

$$v = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} v_j = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K_j(t,x;s,y)G(s,y)dyds,$$

where

$$K_j(t,x;s,y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i((x-y)\cdot\xi+(\phi(t)-\phi(s))|\xi|)}\beta\Big(\frac{|\xi|}{2^j}\Big)a(t,s,\xi)\hat{G}(s,\xi)\mathrm{d}\xi.$$

By (4.24) and Hölder's inequality, we arrive at

$$|v_j| \le \parallel K_j(t,x;s,y)(\phi^2(t)-|x|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \parallel_{L^2_{s,y}} \parallel (\phi^2(t)-|x|^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}G(s,y) \parallel_{L^2_{s,y}} .$$

Taking $N = \frac{n}{2} - \frac{1}{m+2}$ in (4.24) and repeating the computations of (4.25) and (4.26), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\parallel K_j(t,x;s,y)(\phi^2(t)-|x|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \parallel_{L^2_{s,y}}\\ &\le C\delta_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\phi(t)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}}(\phi(t)-|x|)^{\frac{1}{m+2}-\frac{n}{2}+\frac{1}{2}}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\big\{(\phi(t)^2-|x|^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}G(s,y)\big\}^2\mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}s\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \le C(\delta\phi(T))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \parallel G \parallel_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)} .$$

In addition, by $-\frac{n-1}{2}+\frac{1}{m+2} < -\frac{1}{2}$ for $n \ge 3$ and $m \ge 1$, a direct computation yields

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big\|\phi(t)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}}\big(\phi(t)-|x|\big)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}+\frac{1}{m+2}}\Big\|_{L^2_{t,x}}\\ &\le C_n\Big(\int_{\frac{T}{2}}^{T}\phi(t)^{-(n-1)+\frac{2}{m+2}}\int_0^{\phi(t)-10\phi(2)}(\phi(t)-r)^{\frac{2}{m+2}-(n-1)}r^{n-1}\mathrm{d}r\mathrm{d}t\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\\ &\le C\Big(\int_{\frac{T}{2}}^{T}\phi(t)^{\frac{2}{m+2}}\mathrm{d}t\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\\ &\le CT.\end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\phi(T)^{\frac{m-2}{2(m+2)}-\frac{\nu}{2}}\delta^{\frac{m-2}{2(m+2)}+\frac{\nu}{2}}\parallel v\parallel_{L^2(\{(t,x):\frac{T}{2}\le t\le T,\delta\le\phi(t)-|x|\le 2\delta\})}\\&\le\phi(T)^{\frac{m-2}{2(m+2)}-\frac{\nu}{2}}\delta^{\frac12+\frac{\nu}{2}}\delta_0^{\frac12}(\delta\phi(T))^{-\frac12}T\parallel G\parallel_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)}\\&\le C\delta_0^{\frac12}\parallel G\parallel_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)}\end{aligned}\tag{5.4}$$

and then (5.3) is proved.

### 5.1.2 $\delta_{\mathbf{0}}\le\delta\le\mathbf{10}\phi(\mathbf{2})$

Next we study (5.3) for the case of $\phi(t)-|x|\le 10\phi(2)$. At first, we claim that under certain restrictions on the variable $\xi$, this situation can be treated as in the proof of (4.39) in §4. Indeed, recalling (4.29)-(4.30), and noting $t\ge s\ge 1$, then we can assume

$$v=\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}e^{i((x-y)\cdot\xi+(\phi(t)-\phi(s))|\xi|)}\phi(t)^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}}|\xi|^{-1}G(s,y)\mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}\xi\mathrm{d}s.$$

As in the (4.1.3) of §4 for the proof of (3.3), we again split $v$ into a low frequency part and a high frequency part respectively. To this end, we choose a function $\beta\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying $\beta=1$ near the origin such that $v=v_0+v_1$, where

$$v_1=\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}e^{i((x-y)\cdot\xi+(\phi(t)-\phi(s))|\xi|)}\phi(t)^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}}\frac{1-\beta(\delta\xi)}{|\xi|}G(s,y)\mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}\xi\mathrm{d}s.$$

If we set $\phi(s)=|y|+\tau$ and use Hölder's inequality as in (4.31), then

$$\begin{aligned}|v_1|&\le C\delta_0^{\frac12}\bigg(\int_{\delta_0}^{2\delta_0}\bigg|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}e^{i((x-y)\cdot\xi+(\phi(t)-\tau-|y|)|\xi|)}\phi(t)^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}}\frac{1-\beta(\delta\xi)}{|\xi|}G(s,y)\mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}\xi\bigg|^2\mathrm{d}\tau\bigg)^{\frac12}\\&=:C\delta_0^{\frac12}\bigg(\int_{\delta_0}^{2\delta_0}|T_1(t,\tau,\cdot)|^2\mathrm{d}\tau\bigg)^{\frac12}.\end{aligned}$$

Note $\frac{1-\beta(\delta\xi)}{|\xi|}=O(\delta)$. Then the expression of $v_1$ is similar to (4.36) with $Rez=0$ . Consequently we can apply the method of (4.39) to get

$$\parallel T_1(t,\tau,\cdot)\parallel_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}\le C\big(\phi(t)-\tau\big)^{\frac{\nu}{2}-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}}\delta^{-\frac{\nu+1}{2}+1}\parallel G(s,\cdot)\parallel_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

which derives

$$\parallel v_1\parallel_{L^2}\le C\delta_0^{\frac12}\delta^{-\frac{\nu+1}{2}+1}\phi(T)^{\frac{\nu}{2}-\frac{m-2}{2(m+2)}}\parallel G\parallel_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)}.$$

Due to $\delta\le 10\phi(2)$, the estimate (5.3) for $v_1$ follows immediately.

We now estimate $v_0$. At first, one notes that

$$\begin{aligned}&\bigg|\int_{|\xi|\le1}e^{i((x-y)\cdot\xi+(\phi(t)-\phi(s))|\xi|)}\phi(t)^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}}\frac{\beta(\delta\xi)}{|\xi|}\mathrm{d}\xi\bigg|\\&\le C\big(1+\big|\phi(t)-\phi(s)\big|\big)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}\phi(t)^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}}\\&\le C\big(1+|x-y|\big)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}\phi(t)^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}}.\end{aligned}\tag{5.5}$$

In the last step of (5.5) we have used the fact $\phi(t)-\phi(s)\geq|x-y|$ for any $(s,y)\in\operatorname{supp}F$ and $(t,x)\in\operatorname{supp}w$. In fact, it follows from the formula in Theorem 2.4 of [30] that the solution of (3.1) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}w(t,x)=C_m\int_0^t\int_0^{\phi(t)-\phi(s)}&\partial_{r_1}\psi_{(F)_s}(r_1,x)\big(\phi(t)+\phi(s)+r_1\big)^{-\gamma}\big(\phi(t)+\phi(s)-r_1\big)^{-\gamma}\\&\times H\big(\gamma,\gamma,1,z\big)dr_1ds,\end{aligned}\tag{5.6}$$

where $z=\frac{(-r_1+\phi(t)-\phi(s))(-r_1-\phi(t)+\phi(s))}{(-r_1+\phi(t)+\phi(s))(-r_1-\phi(t)-\phi(s))}$, $H\big(\gamma,\gamma,1,z\big)$ is the hypergeometric function, and $\psi_g(r_1,x)$ stands for the solution of the linear wave equation

$$\begin{cases}\partial_{r_1}^2\psi-\Delta\psi=0,\\ \psi(0,x)=0,\quad \partial_{r_1}\psi(0,x)=g(x).\end{cases}$$

Then by the finite propagation speed property for the linear wave operator and the expression (5.6), we have that for any $(s,y)\in\operatorname{supp}F$ and $(t,x)\in\operatorname{supp}w$,

$$\phi(t)-\phi(s)\geq|x-y|.$$

Note that the corresponding inequality (5.3) holds when we replace $v$ by

$$v_{01}=\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{|\xi|\leq1}e^{i((x-y)\cdot\xi+(\phi(t)-\phi(s))|\xi|)}\phi(t)^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}}\frac{\beta(\delta\xi)}{|\xi|}G(s,y)\mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}\xi\mathrm{d}s.$$

It follows from direct computation that

$$\begin{aligned}&\|v_{01}\|_{L^2(\{(t,x):\frac{T}{2}\leq t\leq T,\delta\leq\phi(t)-|x|\leq2\delta\})}\\&\leq\Big\|\iiint_{|\xi|\leq1}e^{i((x-y)\cdot\xi+(\phi(t)-\phi(s))|\xi|)}\phi(t)^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}}\frac{\beta(\delta\xi)}{|\xi|}\mathrm{d}\xi G(s,y)\mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}s\Big\|_{L^2_{t,x}}\\&\leq C\Big\|\iint\big(1+|x-y|\big)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}\phi(t)^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}}G(s,y)\mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}s\Big\|_{L^2_{t,x}}\\&\leq C\phi(T)^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}}\Big\|\Big(\|\big(1+|x-y|\big)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}\|_{L^2_{s,y}}\|G\|_{L^2}\Big)\Big\|_{L^2_{t,x}}\\&\leq C\phi(T)^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}}\|G\|_{L^2}\Big\|\Big(\int_{\frac{T}{2}}^T\int_{\delta\leq\phi(t)-|x|\leq2\delta}\big(1+|x-y|\big)^{-(n-1)}\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t\Big)^{\frac12}\Big\|_{L^2_{s,y}}.\end{aligned}\tag{5.7}$$

By $|y|\leq\phi(2)$, then $\frac12|x|\leq|x-y|\leq2|x|$ holds if $|x|\geq2\phi(2)$. On the other hand, if $|x|<2\phi(2)$, then the integral with respect to the variable $x$ in last line of in (5.7) must be finite and can be controlled by $\delta$. This yields

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big\|\Big(\int_{\frac{T}{2}}^T\int_{\delta\leq\phi(t)-|x|\leq2\delta}(1+|x-y|)^{-(n-1)}\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t\Big)^{\frac12}\Big\|_{L^2_{s,y}}\\&\leq C\Big\|\Big(\int_{\frac{T}{2}}^T\int_{\phi(t)-2\delta}^{\phi(t)-\delta}\mathrm{d}r\mathrm{d}t\Big)^{\frac12}\Big\|_{L^2_{s,y}}\\&\leq C(\delta_0\delta T)^{\frac12},\end{aligned}$$

which implies that the left side of (5.3) can be controlled by

$$\phi(T)^{\frac{m-2}{2(m+2)}-\frac{\nu}{2}}\delta^{\frac{m-2}{2(m+2)}+\frac{\nu}{2}}\phi(T)^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}}(\delta_0\delta T)^{\frac{1}{2}}\parallel G\parallel_{L^2}\leq C\delta_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\parallel G\parallel_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)}. \tag{5.8}$$

Consequently, the proof on (5.3) will be completed if we could show that

$$\begin{aligned}&\phi(T)^{\frac{m-2}{2(m+2)}-\frac{\nu}{2}}\delta^{\frac{m-2}{2(m+2)}+\frac{\nu}{2}}\parallel v_{02}\parallel_{L^2(\{(t,x):\frac{T}{2}\leq t\leq T,\delta\leq\phi(t)-|x|\leq 2\delta\})}\\&\leq C\delta_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\parallel G\parallel_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)},\end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

where

$$v_{02}=\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{|\xi|\geq 1}e^{i((x-y)\cdot\xi+(\phi(t)-\phi(s))|\xi|)}\phi(t)^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}}\frac{\beta(\delta\xi)}{|\xi|}G(s,y)\mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}\xi\mathrm{d}s.$$

The first step in proving (5.9) is to notice that

$$\begin{aligned}&\parallel v_{02}\parallel_{L^2(\{(t,x):\frac{T}{2}\leq t\leq T,\delta\leq\phi(t)-|x|\leq 2\delta\})}\\&\leq\Big\|\int\parallel\check{T}G\parallel_{L^2(\{x:\delta\leq\phi(t)-|x|\leq 2\delta\})}ds\Big\|_{L^2(\{t:\frac{T}{2}\leq t\leq T\})},\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\check{T}G=\int\int_{|\xi|\geq 1}e^{i((x-y)\cdot\xi+(\phi(t)-\phi(s))|\xi|)}\phi(t)^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}}\frac{\beta(\delta\xi)}{|\xi|}G(s,y)\mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}\xi.$$

To estimate $\parallel\check{T}G\parallel_{L^2(\{x:\delta\leq\phi(t)-|x|\leq 2\delta\})}$, it follows from Lemma A.5 and direct computation that

$$\begin{aligned}&\phi(T)^{\frac{m-2}{2(m+2)}-\frac{\nu}{2}}\delta^{\frac{m-2}{2(m+2)}+\frac{\nu}{2}}\parallel v_{02}\parallel_{L^2(\{(t,x):\frac{T}{2}\leq t\leq T,\delta\leq\phi(t)-|x|\leq 2\delta\})}\\&\leq C\phi(T)^{\frac{m-2}{2(m+2)}-\frac{\nu}{2}}\delta^{\frac{m-2}{2(m+2)}+\frac{\nu}{2}}\delta^{\frac{1}{2}}T^{\frac{1}{2}}\phi(T)^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}}\\&\quad\times\Big(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}2^{\frac{j}{2}}\Big\|\iint e^{i(-y\cdot\xi-\phi(s))|\xi|)}\frac{\beta(\delta\xi)}{|\xi|}G(s,y)\mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}s\Big\|_{L^2(2^j\leq|\xi|\leq 2^{j+1})}\Big)\\&\leq C\delta^{\frac{m}{m+2}}\Big(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\Big\|\iiint_{2^j\leq|\xi|\leq 2^{j+1}}e^{i((x-y)\cdot\xi-\phi(s))|\xi|)}\frac{\beta(\delta\xi)}{|\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}}}G(s,y)\mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}\xi\mathrm{d}s\Big\|_{L^2_x}\Big).\end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

Then applying Hölder's inequality as in (4.31) yields

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big\|\iiint_{2^j\leq|\xi|\leq 2^{j+1}}e^{i((x-y)\cdot\xi-\phi(s))|\xi|)}\frac{\beta(\delta\xi)}{|\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}}}G(s,y)\mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}\xi\mathrm{d}s\Big\|_{L^2_x}\\&\leq C\delta_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big(\iint\Big|\iint_{2^j\leq|\xi|\leq 2^{j+1}}e^{i((x-y)\cdot\xi-(|y|+\tau)|\xi|)}\frac{\beta(\delta\xi)}{|\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}}}G(\phi^{-1}(|y|+\tau),y)\mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}\xi\Big|^2\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}\tau\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}.\end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

On the other hand, by applying Lemma 3.2 in [7], we obtain that for each fixed $j \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big\| \iint_{2^j \leq |\xi| \leq 2^{j+1}} e^{i((x-y)\cdot\xi - (|y|+\tau)|\xi|)} \frac{\beta(\delta\xi)}{|\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}}} G(\phi^{-1}(|y|+\tau), y) \mathrm{d}y \mathrm{d}\xi \Big\|_{L^2_{\tau,x}} \\
&\leq C\Big( \iint_{\phi(1) \leq |y|+\tau \leq \phi(2)} \big| G\big(\phi^{-1}(|y|+\tau), y\big) \big|^2 \mathrm{d}y \mathrm{d}\tau \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&= C\Big( \iint_{\phi(1) \leq |y|+\tau \leq \phi(2)} \big| G\big(\phi^{-1}(|y|+\tau), y\big) \big|^2 \mathrm{d}\tau \mathrm{d}y \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq C\Big( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_1^2 |G(s,y)|^2 s^{\frac{m}{2}} \mathrm{d}s \mathrm{d}y \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq C\Big( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_1^2 |G(s,y)|^2 \mathrm{d}s \mathrm{d}y \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq C \parallel G \parallel_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)} .
\end{aligned} \tag{5.12}$$

On the other hand, in the support of $\beta(\delta\xi)$, one has $2^j\delta \leq |\xi|\delta \leq C$, which derives

$$j \leq C(1 + |\ln \delta|). \tag{5.13}$$

Substituting (5.12) and (5.13) into (5.11) and further (5.10), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
&\phi(T)^{\frac{m-2}{2(m+2)} - \frac{\nu}{2}} \delta^{\frac{m-2}{2(m+2)} + \frac{\nu}{2}} \parallel v_{02} \parallel_{L^2(\{(t,x): \frac{T}{2} \leq t \leq T, \delta \leq \phi(t) - |x| \leq 2\delta\})} \\
&\leq C\delta^{\frac{m}{m+2}} (1 + |\ln \delta|) \delta_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \parallel G \parallel_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)} \\
&\leq C\delta_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \parallel G \parallel_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)} .
\end{aligned}$$

## 5.2 Estimate of $w^1$

We only need to prove (5.3) for $\phi(T) \leq 10\phi(2)$. In this case we must have $\delta_0 \leq \delta \leq 10\phi(2)$. Our task is reduced to prove

$$\delta^{\frac{m-2}{2(m+2)} + \frac{\nu}{2}} \parallel v \parallel_{L^2(\{(t,x): \frac{T}{2} \leq t \leq T, \delta \leq \phi(t) - |x| \leq 2\delta\})} \leq C\delta_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \parallel G \parallel_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)} . \tag{5.14}$$

As in Subsection 5.1.2, we can write

$$v = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i((x-y)\cdot\xi + (\phi(t) - \phi(s))|\xi|)} |\xi|^{-1} G(s,y) \mathrm{d}y \mathrm{d}\xi \mathrm{d}s.$$

Note in this case the time variable $t$ is bounded from both below and above, thus we do not require to focus on the term $\phi(t)^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}}$ in Subsection 5.1. As in Subsection 5.1.2, we shall split $v$ into a low frequency part and a high frequency part respectively. To this end, we choose a function $\beta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying $\beta = 1$ near the origin such that $v = v_0 + v_1$, where

$$v_1 = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i((x-y)\cdot\xi + (\phi(t) - \phi(s))|\xi|)} \frac{1 - \beta(\delta\xi)}{|\xi|} G(s,y) \mathrm{d}y \mathrm{d}\xi \mathrm{d}s.$$

If we set $\phi(s)=|y|+\tau$ and use Hölder's inequality as in (4.31), then

$$|v_1|\le C\delta_0^{\frac12}\bigg(\int_{\delta_0}^{2\delta_0}\bigg|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}e^{i((x-y)\cdot\xi+(\phi(t)-\tau-|y|)|\xi|)}\frac{1-\beta(\delta\xi)}{|\xi|}G(s,y)\mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}\xi\bigg|^2\mathrm{d}\tau\bigg)^{\frac12}$$
$$=:C\delta_0^{\frac12}\bigg(\int_{\delta_0}^{2\delta_0}|\bar{T_1}(t,\tau,\cdot)|^2\mathrm{d}\tau\bigg)^{\frac12}.$$

Note $\frac{1-\beta(\delta\xi)}{|\xi|}=O(\delta)$. Then the expression of $v_1$ is similar to (4.36) with $Rez=0$ . Consequently we can apply the method of (4.39) to get

$$\parallel\bar{T_1}(t,\tau,\cdot)\parallel_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}\le C\big(\phi(t)-\tau\big)^{\frac{\nu}{2}}\delta^{-\frac{\nu+1}{2}+1}\parallel G(s,\cdot)\parallel_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

which derives

$$\parallel v_1\parallel_{L^2}\le C\delta_0^{\frac12}\delta^{-\frac{\nu+1}{2}+1}\phi(T)^{\frac{\nu}{2}}\parallel G\parallel_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)}.$$

Due to $\delta\le\phi(T)\le10\phi(2)$ and $\phi(T)\ge\phi(1)$, the estimate (5.14) for $v_1$ follows immediately.

We now estimate $v_0$. At first, one notes that similarly to (5.5), we have

$$\bigg|\int_{|\xi|\le1}e^{i((x-y)\cdot\xi+(\phi(t)-\phi(s))|\xi|)}\frac{\beta(\delta\xi)}{|\xi|}\mathrm{d}\xi\bigg|\le C\big(1+|x-y|\big)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}.$$

Thus the corresponding inequality (5.3) holds as long as we replace $v$ by

$$v_{01}=\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{|\xi|\le1}e^{i((x-y)\cdot\xi+(\phi(t)-\phi(s))|\xi|)}\frac{\beta(\delta\xi)}{|\xi|}G(s,y)\mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}\xi\mathrm{d}s.$$

As in (5.7) one has

$$\|v_{01}\|_{L^2(\{(t,x):\frac{T}{2}\le t\le T,\delta\le\phi(t)-|x|\le2\delta\})}$$
$$\le\Big\|\iiint_{|\xi|\le1}e^{i((x-y)\cdot\xi+(\phi(t)-\phi(s))|\xi|)}\frac{\beta(\delta\xi)}{|\xi|}\mathrm{d}\xi G(s,y)\mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}s\Big\|_{L^2_{t,x}}$$
$$\le C\parallel G\parallel_{L^2}\Big\|\Big(\int_{\frac{T}{2}}^T\int_{\delta\le\phi(t)-|x|\le2\delta}(1+|x-y|)^{-(n-1)}\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t\Big)^{\frac12}\Big\|_{L^2_{s,y}}.$$

Note that in this case of $|x|\le\phi(t)\le10\phi(2)$, a direct computation yields

$$\Big\|\Big(\int_{\frac{T}{2}}^T\int_{\delta\le\phi(t)-|x|\le2\delta}\big(1+|x-y|\big)^{-(n-1)}\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t\Big)^{\frac12}\Big\|_{L^2_{s,y}}$$
$$\le C\Big\|\Big(\int_{\frac{T}{2}}^T\int_{\phi(t)-2\delta}^{\phi(t)-\delta}\mathrm{d}r\mathrm{d}t\Big)^{\frac12}\Big\|_{L^2_{s,y}}$$
$$\le C(\delta_0\delta T)^{\frac12},$$

which implies that the left side of (5.14) can be controlled by

$$\delta^{\frac{m-2}{2(m+2)}+\frac{\nu}{2}}(\delta_0\delta T)^{\frac12}\parallel G\parallel_{L^2}\le C\delta_0^{\frac12}\parallel G\parallel_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)}. \tag{5.15}$$

Consequently, our proof will be completed if we could show that

$$\begin{aligned}&\delta^{\frac{m-2}{2(m+2)}+\frac{\nu}{2}} \parallel v_{02} \parallel_{L^2(\{(t,x):\frac{T}{2}\le t\le T,\delta\le\phi(t)-|x|\le 2\delta\})}\\&\le C\delta_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \parallel G \parallel_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)},\end{aligned}$$

where

$$v_{02}=\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{|\xi|\ge 1} e^{i((x-y)\cdot\xi+(\phi(t)-\phi(s))|\xi|)}\frac{\beta(\delta\xi)}{|\xi|}G(s,y)\mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}\xi\mathrm{d}s.$$

But this just only follows from the estimate of $v_{02}$ in Subsection 5.1.2 if one notes the fact of $\delta\le\phi(T)\le 10\phi(2)$.

Collecting the estimates on $w^0$ and $w^1$ in Subsection 5.1 and Subsection 5.2 respectively, the proof of (3.4) is completed.

# 6 Proof of Theorem 1.1.

Based on the weighted inequalities §3-§5, we begin the proof of Theorem 1.1.

*Proof.* By the local existence and regularity of solution $u$ to (1.2) (for examples, one can see [19] or references therein), one knows that $u\in C^\infty([0,T_0]\times\mathbb{R}^n)$ exists for any fixed constant $T_0<1$ and $u$ has a compact support on the variable $x$. Moreover, for any $N\in\mathbb{N}$,

$$\Big\|u\Big(\frac{T_0}{2},\cdot\Big)\Big\|_{C^N}+\Big\|\partial_t u\Big(\frac{T_0}{2},\cdot\Big)\Big\|_{C^N}\le C_N\varepsilon. \tag{6.1}$$

Then we can take $(u(\frac{T_0}{2},x),\partial_t u(\frac{T_0}{2},x))$ as the new initial data to solve (1.2) from $t=\frac{T_0}{2}$.

Now we use the standard Picard iteration to prove Theorem 1.1. Let $u_{-1}\equiv 0$, and for $k=0,1,2,3,\ldots$, let $u_k$ be the solution of the following equation

$$\begin{cases}\partial_t^2 u_k-t^m\triangle u_k=F_p(t,u_{k-1}),\quad (t,x)\in\big(\frac{T_0}{2},\infty\big)\times\mathbb{R}^n,\\ u_k\big(\frac{T_0}{2},x\big)=u\big(\frac{T_0}{2},x\big)\quad \partial_t u_k\big(\frac{T_0}{2},x\big)=\partial_t u\big(\frac{T_0}{2},x\big).\end{cases}$$

For $p\in(p_{crit}(m,n),p_{conf}(m,n))$, we can fix a number $\gamma>0$ satisfying

$$\frac{1}{p(p+1)}<\gamma<\frac{(m+2)n-2}{2(m+2)}-\frac{(m+2)n-m}{(m+2)(p+1)}.$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned}M_k=&\Big\|\Big(\big(\phi(t)+M\big)^2-|x|^2\Big)^\gamma u_k\Big\|_{L^q([\frac{T_0}{2},\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^n)},\\ N_k=&\Big\|\Big(\big(\phi(t)+M\big)^2-|x|^2\Big)^\gamma (u_k-u_{k-1})\Big\|_{L^q([\frac{T_0}{2},\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^n)},\end{aligned}$$

where $q=p+1$. By (6.1) and Theorem 2.1 we know that there exists a constant $C_0>0$ such that

$$M_0\le C_0\varepsilon.$$

Notice that for $j,\, k \geq 0$,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^2(u_{k+1}-u_{j+1}) - t^m\Delta(u_{k+1}-u_{j+1}) = V(u_k,u_j)(u_k-u_j), \\ (u_{k+1}-u_{j+1})\big(\frac{T_0}{2},x\big) = 0, \quad \partial_t(u_{k+1}-u_{j+1})\big(\frac{T_0}{2},x\big) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\big|V(u_k,u_j)\big| \leq \begin{cases} C(|u_k|+|u_j|)^{p-1} & \text{if} \quad t \geq T_0, \\ C(1+|u_k|+|u_j|)^{p-1} & \text{if} \quad \frac{T_0}{2} \leq t \leq T_0. \end{cases}$$

By our assumptions

$$\gamma < \frac{(m+2)n-2}{2(m+2)} - \frac{(m+2)n-m}{(m+2)q} \quad \text{and} \quad p\gamma > \frac{1}{q}, \quad q = p+1,$$

then applying Theorem 3.2 and Hölder's inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\Big\|\Big(\big(\phi(t)+M\big)^2 - |x|^2\Big)^{\gamma}(u_{k+1}-u_{j+1})\Big\|_{L^q([\frac{T_0}{2},\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq C\Big\|\Big(\big(\phi(t)+M\big)^2 - |x|^2\Big)^{p\gamma}V(u_k,u_j)(u_k-u_j)\Big\|_{L^{\frac{q}{q-1}}([\frac{T_0}{2},\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq C\Big\{\Big\|\Big(\big(\phi(t)+M\big)^2 - |x|^2\Big)^{\gamma}(1+|u_k|+|u_j|)\Big\|_{L^q([\frac{T_0}{2},T_0]\times\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\qquad + \Big\|\Big(\big(\phi(t)+M\big)^2 - |x|^2\Big)^{\gamma}(|u_k|+|u_j|)\Big\|_{L^q([T_0,\infty]\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\Big\}^{p-1} \\ &\quad \times \Big\|\Big(\big(\phi(t)+M\big)^2 - |x|^2\Big)^{\gamma}(u_k-u_j)\Big\|_{L^q([\frac{T_0}{2},\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq C\big(C_1T_0^{\frac{1}{q}} + M_k + M_j\big)^{p-1}\Big\|\Big(\big(\phi(t)+M\big)^2 - |x|^2\Big)^{\gamma}(u_k-u_j)\Big\|_{L^q([\frac{T_0}{2},\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

If $j=-1$, then $M_j = 0$, and we conclude that from (6.2)

$$M_{k+1} \leq M_0 + \frac{M_k}{2} \quad \text{for} \quad C\big(C_1T_0^{\frac{1}{q}} + M_k\big)^{p-1} \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

This yields that

$$M_k \leq 2M_0 \quad \text{if} \quad C\big(C_1T_0^{\frac{1}{q}} + C_0\varepsilon\big)^{p-1} \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Thus we get the boundedness of $\{u_k\}$ in the space $L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)$ when the fixed constant $T_0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ are sufficiently small. Similarly, we have

$$N_{k+1} \leq \frac{1}{2}N_k,$$

which derives that there exists a function $u \in L^q\big(\big[\frac{T_0}{2},\infty\big)\times\mathbb{R}^n\big)$ such that $u_k \to u \in L^q\big(\big[\frac{T_0}{2},\infty\big)\times\mathbb{R}^n\big)$. In addition, by the uniform boundedness of $M_k$ and the computations above, one easily obtains

$$\| F_p(t,u_{k+1}) - F_p(t,u_k) \|_{L^{\frac{q}{q-1}}([\frac{T_0}{2},\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\le C \parallel u_{k+1} - u_k \parallel_{L^q([\frac{T_0}{2},\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&\le C\phi\Big(\frac{T_0}{4}\Big)^{-\gamma} N_k \\
&\le C2^{-k}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $F_p(t,u_k) \to F_p(t,u)$ in $L^{\frac{q}{q-1}}\big([\frac{T_0}{2},\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^n\big)$ and hence $u$ is a weak solution of (1.2) in the sense of distributions. Then we complete the proof of Theorem 1.1. □

# A Appendix.

The first lemma is from Lemma 3.8 of [13].

**Lemma A.1.** *Assume that* $\beta(\tau) \in C_0^\infty(\frac{1}{2},2)$ *and* $\sum\limits_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \beta(\frac{\tau}{2^j}) \equiv 1$ *for* $\tau > 0$. *Define the Littlewood-Paley operators of function* $G$ *as follows*

$$G_j(t,x) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix\cdot\xi} \beta(\frac{|\xi|}{2^j}) \hat{G}(t,\xi) d\xi.$$

*Then one has that*

$$\parallel G \parallel_{L_t^s L_x^q} \le C\big(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \parallel G_j \parallel^2_{L_t^s L_x^q}\big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \qquad \textit{for} \quad 2 \le q < \infty \textit{ and } 2 \le s \le \infty$$

*and*

$$\big(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \parallel G_j \parallel^2_{L_t^r L_x^p}\big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \le C \parallel G \parallel_{L_t^r L_x^p} \qquad \textit{for} \quad 1 < p \le 2 \quad \textit{and} \quad 1 \le r \le 2.$$

The following lemma is a variant of Lemma 3.2 in [7].

**Lemma A.2.** *If* (4.35) *holds, then* (4.15) *holds.*

*Proof.* If (4.35) holds, then we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
&\parallel v \parallel_{L^{q_0}(\{(t,x):\delta\le\phi(t)-|x|\le 2\delta\})} \\
&\le C\delta_0^{\frac{1}{q_0}} \Big\| \Big( \int_{\delta_0}^{2\delta_0} \parallel (TG)\big(\phi(t)-\tau,\cdot\big) \parallel^{\frac{q_0}{q_0-1}}_{L^{q_0}(\{x:\delta\le\phi(t)-|x|\le 2\delta\})} \mathrm{d}\tau \Big)^{\frac{q_0-1}{q_0}} \Big\|_{L_t^{q_0}} \\
&\le C\delta_0^{\frac{1}{q_0}} \Big\| \Big( \int_{\delta_0}^{2\delta_0} \Big( \big(\phi(t)-\tau\big)^{\nu-\frac{m+4}{q_0(m+2)}} \delta^{-\nu-\frac{1}{q_0}} \parallel G(\tau,\cdot) \parallel_{L^{\frac{q_0}{q_0-1}}} \Big)^{\frac{q_0}{q_0-1}} \mathrm{d}\tau \Big)^{\frac{q_0-1}{q_0}} \Big\|_{L_t^{q_0}} \\
&\le C\delta_0^{\frac{1}{q_0}} \Big\| \phi(t)^{\nu-\frac{m+4}{q_0(m+2)}} \delta^{-\nu-\frac{1}{q_0}} \Big( \int_{\delta_0}^{2\delta_0} \parallel G(\tau,\cdot) \parallel^{\frac{q_0}{q_0-1}}_{L^{\frac{q_0}{q_0-1}}} \mathrm{d}\tau \Big)^{\frac{q_0-1}{q_0}} \Big\|_{L_t^{q_0}} \\
&\le C\delta_0^{\frac{1}{q_0}} \delta^{-\nu-\frac{1}{q_0}} \Big( \int_{\frac{T}{2}}^{T} \phi(t)^{q_0\nu-\frac{m+4}{m+2}} \mathrm{d}t \Big)^{\frac{1}{q_0}} \parallel G \parallel_{L^{\frac{q_0}{q_0-1}}} \\
&\le C\delta_0^{\frac{1}{q_0}} \delta^{-\nu-\frac{1}{q_0}} \phi(T)^{\nu-\frac{1}{q_0}} \parallel G \parallel_{L^{\frac{q_0}{q_0-1}}},
\end{aligned}$$

which derives (4.15). □

**Lemma A.3.** (4.45) *holds.*

*Proof.* We will apply Lemma 3.2 in [7] and the dual argument to derive (4.45). For $h \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, due to $(R_z^* h, \bar{g}) = (h, \bar{R_z} g)$, then

$$\| R_z g \|_{L^2} = \sup_{h \in L^2} \frac{\big|(h, \bar{R_z} g)\big|}{\| h \|_{L^2}} \leq \sup_{h \in L^2} \frac{\| R_z^* h \|_{L^2}}{\| h \|_{L^2}} \| g \|_{L^2} .$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}(R_z g)(t,x) =& \Big(z - \frac{(m+2)n+2}{2(m+2)}\Big) e^{z^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\frac{1}{2}\phi(1) \leq |y| \leq \phi(2)} e^{i((x-y)\cdot\xi - (\phi(t)-|y|)|\xi|)} \\ & \times \Big(1 - \rho\big(\phi(t)^{1-\alpha}\delta^\alpha \xi\big)\Big) \big(1 + \phi(t)|\xi|\big)^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}} g(y) \frac{\mathrm{d}\xi}{|\xi|^z} \mathrm{d}y,\end{aligned}$$

the dual operator of $R_z g$ is

$$\begin{aligned}(R_z^* h)(y) &= \Big(\bar{z} - \frac{(m+2)n+2}{2(m+2)}\Big) e^{\bar{z}^2} \int\int e^{i((y-x)\cdot\xi + (\phi(t)-|y|)|\xi|)} \\ &\quad \times \Big(1 - \rho\big(\phi(t)^{1-\alpha}\delta^\alpha \xi\big)\Big) \big(1 + \phi(t)|\xi|\big)^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}} h(x) \frac{\mathrm{d}\xi}{|\xi|^{\bar{z}}} \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}\xi \\ &= (\bar{z} - \frac{(m+2)n+2}{2(m+2)}) e^{\bar{z}^2} \int e^{i(y\cdot\xi - |y||\xi|)} e^{i\phi(t)|\xi|} \\ &\quad \times \Big(1 - \rho\big(\phi(t)^{1-\alpha}\delta^\alpha \xi\big)\Big) \big(1 + \phi(t)|\xi|\big)^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}} |\xi|^{-\bar{z}} \hat{h}(\xi) \mathrm{d}\xi.\end{aligned}$$

Denote

$$\hat{H}(\xi) = e^{i\phi(t)|\xi|} \Big(1 - \rho\big(\phi(t)^{1-\alpha}\delta^\alpha \xi\big)\Big) \big(1 + \phi(t)|\xi|\big)^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}} |\xi|^{-\bar{z}} \hat{h}(\xi).$$

Then it follows from direct computation that

$$\begin{aligned}&\| R_z^* g \|_{L^2(\frac{1}{2}\phi(1) \leq |y| \leq \phi(2))} \\ &\leq C\Big( \| \hat{H} \|_{L^2(|\xi| \leq 1)} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{\frac{k}{2}} \| \hat{H} \|_{L^2(2^k \leq |\xi| \leq 2^{k+1})} \Big) \qquad \text{(by Lemma 3.2 of [7])} \\ &\leq C\Big( \| \hat{H} \|_{L^2(|\xi| \leq 1)} + \sum_{2^{k+1} \leq \phi(t)^{\alpha-1}\delta^{-\alpha}} 2^{\frac{k}{2}} \| \hat{H} \|_{L^2(2^k \leq |\xi| \leq 2^{k+1})} \Big).\end{aligned} \tag{A.1}$$

If $k \geq 0$, then

$$\| \hat{H} \|_{L^2(2^k \leq |\xi| \leq 2^{k+1})} \leq C 2^{-k\frac{m}{2(m+2)}} \phi(t)^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}} \| \hat{h} \|_{L^2(2^k \leq |\xi| \leq 2^{k+1})} . \tag{A.2}$$

Next we estimate the remaining part $\| \hat{H} \|_{L^2(|\xi| \leq 1)}$ in (A.1). We first consider the special case, that is, $\hat{h}(\xi)$ is a polynomial. More specifically, without loss of generality, we assume that $\hat{h}(\xi) = \xi_1^l$, $l \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that the integral domain $\{\xi : |\xi| \leq 1\}$ is contained in the area $D = \{\xi : |\xi_j| \leq 1, j = 1, ..., n\}$. Set $D' = \{(\xi_2, ..., \xi_n) : |\xi_j| \leq 1, j = 2, ..., n\}$. Then we have that for $\hat{h}(\xi) = \xi_1^l$,

$$\| \hat{H} \|_{L^2(|\xi| \leq 1)} \leq I =: \int_D \frac{\xi_1^{2l}}{\big(1 + \phi(t)|\xi|\big)^{\frac{m}{m+2}}} \mathrm{d}\xi.$$

Note that $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi')$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
I &= \int_{D'} \mathrm{d}\xi' \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{\xi_1^{2l}}{(1+\phi(t)|\xi|)^{\frac{m}{m+2}}} \mathrm{d}\xi_1 \\
&= \frac{2}{2l+1} \int_{D'} \frac{1}{(1+\phi(t)|\xi|)^{\frac{m}{m+2}}} \mathrm{d}\xi' \Big|_{\xi_1=1} \\
&\quad + \frac{m}{(2l+1)(m+2)} \int_{D'} \mathrm{d}\xi' \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{\xi_1^{2l+1} \frac{\xi_1}{|\xi|} \phi(t)}{(1+\phi(t)|\xi|)^{\frac{m}{m+2}+1}} \mathrm{d}\xi_1 \\
&\leq \frac{2}{2l+1} \int_{D'} \frac{1}{(1+\phi(t))^{\frac{m}{m+2}}} \mathrm{d}\xi' + \frac{m}{(2l+1)(m+2)} \int_{D'} d\xi' \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{\xi_1^{2l} |\xi| \phi(t)}{(1+\phi(t)|\xi|)^{\frac{m}{m+2}+1}} \mathrm{d}\xi_1 \\
&\leq \frac{2C_n}{2l+1} (1+\phi(t))^{-\frac{m}{m+2}} + \frac{m}{m+2} I,
\end{aligned}$$

where $C_n = \int_{D'} d\xi'$ only depends on $n$. Thus we have

$$(1 - \frac{m}{m+2}) I \leq \frac{2C_n}{2l+1} (1+\phi(t))^{-\frac{m}{m+2}} = 2(1+\phi(t))^{-\frac{m}{m+2}} \int_D \xi_1^{2l} d\xi.$$

This yields

$$(\int_D \frac{\xi_1^{2l}}{(1+\phi(t)|\xi|)^{\frac{m}{m+2}}} d\xi)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C_m (1+\phi(t))^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}} \parallel \xi_1^l \parallel_{L^2(D)} . \tag{A.3}$$

Analogously, we can prove the same estimates (A.3) for all the polynomial $\hat{h}(\xi)$:

$$(\int_D \frac{|\hat{h}(\xi)|^2}{(1+\phi(t)|\xi|)^{\frac{m}{m+2}}} d\xi)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C_m (1+\phi(t))^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}} \parallel \hat{h}(\xi) \parallel_{L^2(D)} . \tag{A.4}$$

For each fixed $t > 0$ and nonzero $\hat{h}(\xi) \in L^2$, we can find a continuous function $g_t(\xi)$ such that

$$\parallel \hat{h} - g_t \parallel_{L^2(D)} \leq \frac{1}{3} (1+\phi(t))^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}} \parallel \hat{h} \parallel_{L^2(D)} .$$

Since $D$ is compact, by Stone-Weierstrass theorem, we can find a polynomial $p_t(\xi)$ such that

$$\parallel p_t - g_t \parallel_{L^2(D)} \leq \frac{1}{3} (1+\phi(t))^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}} \parallel \hat{h} \parallel_{L^2(D)} .$$

Then we obtain the estimate

$$\parallel \hat{H} \parallel_{L^2(D)} \leq C (1+\phi(t))^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}} \parallel \hat{h} \parallel_{L^2(D)} . \tag{A.5}$$

Combining (A.2) and (A.5) yields (4.45). □

**Lemma A.4.** (4.46) *holds true.*

*Proof.* Denote $K_z$ by the kernel of the operator $S_z$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} K_z(t;x,y) =&(z-\frac{(m+2)n+2}{2(m+2)})e^{z^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i[(x-y)\cdot\xi-(\phi(t)-|y|)|\xi|]} \\ &\times \rho(\phi(t)^{1-\alpha}\delta^\alpha\xi)(1+\phi(t)|\xi|)^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}}\frac{d\xi}{|\xi|^z} \quad \text{with } Rez=0. \end{aligned} \tag{A.6}$$

Note that $|\xi|\geq \phi(t)^{\alpha-1}\delta^{-\alpha}$ holds in the integral of (A.6). Therefore it follows from Lemma 3.3 in [7] and the assumption of $\delta\leq 10\phi(2)$ that for any $N\in\mathbb{R}^+$,

$$\begin{aligned} &|K_z|\leq C_N\Big(\frac{\delta}{\phi(t)}\Big)^N(\phi(t)^\alpha\delta^{-\alpha})^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}}\leq C_N\Big(\frac{1}{\phi(t)}\Big)^N(\phi(t)^\alpha\delta^{-\alpha})^{-\frac{m}{2(m+2)}} \\ &\quad \text{if} \quad \Big||x-y|-\big|\phi(t)-|y|\big|\Big|\geq\frac{\delta}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

This yields (4.46) when $\Big||x-y|-\big|\phi(t)-|y|\big|\Big|\geq\frac{\delta}{2}$. For the case of $\Big||x-y|-\big|\phi(t)-|y|\big|\Big|<\frac{\delta}{2}$, analogously treated as in Lemma 3.4-Lemma 3.5 and Proposition 3.6 of [7], (4.46) can be also derived, here we omit the detail since the proof procedure is completely similar to that in [7]. □

**Lemma A.5.** *One has that for $\delta>0$,*

$$\begin{aligned} &\Big\|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i(x\cdot\xi+t|\xi|)}\hat{f}(\xi)\mathrm{d}\xi\Big\|_{L^2(\{x:\delta\leq t-|x|\leq 2\delta\})} \\ &\leq C\delta^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big(\|\hat{f}\ \|_{L^2(|\xi|\leq 1)}+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}2^{\frac{k}{2}}\|\hat{f}\ \|_{L^2(2^k\leq|\xi|\leq 2^{k+1})}\Big). \end{aligned} \tag{A.7}$$

*Proof.* Note that

$$\begin{aligned} &\Big\|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i(x\cdot\xi+t|\xi|)}\hat{f}(\xi)\mathrm{d}\xi\Big\|_{L^2(\{x:\delta\leq t-|x|\leq 2\delta\})} \\ &=(t-\delta)^{-\frac{n}{2}}\Big\|\int e^{i(x\cdot\xi+\frac{t}{t-\delta}|\xi|)}\hat{f}\Big(\frac{\xi}{t-\delta}\Big)\mathrm{d}\xi\Big\|_{L^2(\{x:\frac{t-2\delta}{t-\delta}\leq|x|\leq 1\})}. \end{aligned}$$

By applying the Sobolev trace theorem to the function $\int e^{i(x\cdot\xi+\frac{t}{t-\delta}|\xi|)}\hat{f}(\frac{\xi}{t-\delta})d\xi$ for the variable $x$, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\theta\in S^{n-1}}\Big|e^{i(r\theta\cdot\xi+\frac{t}{t-\delta}|\xi|)}\hat{f}\Big(\frac{\xi}{t-\delta}\Big)\mathrm{d}\xi\Big|^2\mathrm{d}\theta \\ &\leq C\Big(\Big\|\hat{f}\Big(\frac{\xi}{t-\delta}\Big)\Big\|_{L^2(|\xi|\leq 1)}+\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}2^{\frac{j}{2}}\Big\|\hat{f}\Big(\frac{\xi}{t-\delta}\Big)\Big\|_{L^2(2^j\leq|\xi|\leq 2^{j+1})}\Big)^2. \end{aligned} \tag{A.8}$$

Integrating (A.8) with respect to $r$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\Big\|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i(x\cdot\xi+t|\xi|)}\hat{f}(\xi)\mathrm{d}\xi\Big\|_{L^2(\{x:\delta\leq t-|x|\leq 2\delta\})} \\ &\leq\Big(\frac{t-2\delta}{t-\delta}\Big)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\Big(\frac{\delta}{t-\delta}\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}(t-\delta)^{-\frac{n}{2}}\Big(\Big\|\hat{f}\Big(\frac{\xi}{t-\delta}\Big)\Big\|_{L^2(|\xi|\leq 1)} \\ &\quad+\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}2^{\frac{j}{2}}\Big\|\hat{f}\Big(\frac{\xi}{t-\delta}\Big)\Big\|_{L^2(2^j\leq|\xi|\leq 2^{j+1})}\Big) \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\le C\Big(\frac{\delta}{t-\delta}\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}(t-\delta)^{-\frac{n}{2}}\Big((t-\delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big\|\hat{f}\Big(\frac{\xi}{t-\delta}\Big)\Big\|_{L^2(|\xi|\le t-\delta)}\\
&\quad+\sum_{2^j\ge t-\delta}2^{\frac{j}{2}}\Big\|\hat{f}\Big(\frac{\xi}{t-\delta}\Big)\Big\|_{L^2(2^j\le|\xi|\le 2^{j+1})}\Big)\\
&\le C\Big(\frac{\delta}{t-\delta}\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}(t-\delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big(\parallel\hat{f}(\xi)\parallel_{L^2(|\xi|\le 1)}\quad+\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}2^{\frac{j}{2}}\parallel\hat{f}(\xi)\parallel_{L^2(2^j\le|\xi|\le 2^{j+1})}\Big)\\
&\le C\delta^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big(\parallel\hat{f}(\xi)\parallel_{L^2(|\xi|\le 1)}\quad+\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}2^{\frac{j}{2}}\parallel\hat{f}(\xi)\parallel_{L^2(2^j\le|\xi|\le 2^{j+1})}\Big).
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the proof of (A.7) is completed.

□

## References


[1] J. Barros-Neto, I. M. Gelfand, *Fundamental solutions for the Tricomi operator. I, II*, Duke Math. J. 98 (3): 465-483 (1999); 111 (3): 561-584 (2002)

[2] M. D'Abbicco, S. Lucente, M. Reissig, *Semilinear wave equations with effective damping*, Chin. Ann. Math. Ser. B 34, 345-380 (2013)

[3] M. D'Abbicco, S. Lucente, M. Reissig, *A shift in the Strauss exponent for semilinear wave equations with a not effective damping*, J. Differential Equations 259, no. 10, 5040-5073 (2015)

[4] A. Erdelyi, W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger, F. G. Tricomi, Higher Transcendental Functions, Vol.1, New York, Toronto and London: McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1953.

[5] R. T. Glassey, Finite-time blow-up for solutions of nonlinear wave equations, Math. Z. 177, 323-340 (1981)

[6] R. T. Glassey, Existence in the large for $\Box u = F(u)$ in two space dimensions, Math. Z. 178, 233-261 (1981)

[7] V. Georgiev, H. Lindblad, C. D. Sogge, Weighted Strichartz estimates and global existence for semi-linear wave equations, Amer. J. Math. 119, 1291-1319 (1997)

[8] D. K. Gvazava, The global solution of the Tricomi problem for a class of nonlinear mixed differential equations, Differential Equations 3, 1-4 (1967)

[9] Daoyin He, Ingo Witt, Huicheng Yin, On the global solution problem of semilinear generalized Tricomi equations, I, arXiv: 1511.08722, Preprint, 2015 (to appear in Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations).

[10] Daoyin He, Ingo Witt, Huicheng Yin, Some notes on the global solution problem of semilinear generalized Tricomi equations, preprint, 2016.

[11] F. John, Blow-up of solutions of nonlinear wave equations in three space dimensions, Manuscripta Math. 28, 235-265 (1979)

[12] Jiayun Lin, K. Nishihara, Jian Zhai, *Critical exponent for the semilinear wave equation with time-dependent damping*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 32, 4307-4320 (2012)

[13] H. Lindblad, C. D. Sogge, On existence and scattering with minimal regularity for semilinear wave equations, J. Funct. Anal. 130, 357-426 (1995)

[14] D. Lupo, D. D. Monticelli, K. R. Payne, *Variational characterizations of weak solutions to the Dirichlet problem for mixed-type equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 68, no. 9, 1569-1586 (2015)

[15] D. Lupo, C. S. Morawetz, K. R. Payne, *On closed boundary value problems for equations of mixed elliptic-hyperbolic type*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 60, no. 9, 1319-1348 (2007)

[16] D. Lupo, K. R. Payne, *Conservation laws for equations of mixed elliptic-hyperbolic and degenerate types*, Duke Math. J. 127, no. 2, 251-290 (2005)

[17] D. Lupo, K. R. Payne, *Critical exponents for semilinear equations of mixed elliptic-hyperbolic and degenerate types*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 56, no. 3, 403-424 (2003)

[18] K. Nishihara, *Asymptotic behavior of solutions to the semilinear wave equation with time-dependent damping*, Tokyo J. Math. 34, 327-343 (2011)

[19] Zhuoping Ruan, Ingo Witt, Huicheng Yin, On the existence and cusp singularity of solutions to semilinear generalized Tricomi equations with discontinuous initial data, Communications in Contemporary Mathematics, Vol.17, No.3, 1450028 (49 pages), 2015.

[20] Zhuoping Ruan, Ingo Witt, Huicheng Yin, The existence and singularity structures of low regularity solutions to higher order degenerate hyperbolic equations, J. Differential Equations 256, no. 2, 407-460 (2014)

[21] Zhuoping Ruan, Ingo Witt, Huicheng Yin, On the existence of low regularity solutions to semilinear generalized Tricomi equations in mixed type domains, J. Differential Equations 259, no. 12, 7406-7462 (2015)

[22] Zhuoping Ruan, Ingo Witt, Huicheng Yin, Minimal regularity solutions of semilinear generalized Tricomi equations, arXiv:1608.01826, Preprint, 2016.

[23] T. Sideris, *Nonexistence of global solutions to semilinear wave equations in high dimensions*, J. Differential Equations 52, no. 3, 378-406 (1984)

[24] W. Strauss, Nonlinear scattering theory at low energy, J. Funct. Anal. 41, 110-133 (1981)

[25] K. Taniguchi, Y. Tozaki, A hyperbolic equation with double characteristics which has a solution with branching singularities, Math. Japon 25, 279-300 (1980)

[26] J. Wirth, Wave equations with time-dependent dissipation I. Non-effective dissipation, J. Differential Equations 222, no. 2, 487-514 (2006)

[27] J. Wirth, Wave equations with time-dependent dissipation II. Effective dissipation, J. Differential Equations 232, no. 1, 74-103 (2007)

[28] K. Yagdjian, A note on the fundamental solution for the Tricomi-type equation in the hyperbolic domain, J. Differential Equations 206, 227-252 (2004)

[29] K. Yagdjian, Global existence for the n-dimensional semilinear Tricomi-type equations, Comm. Partial Diff. Equations 31, 907-944 (2006)

[30] K. Yagdjian, The self-similar solutions of the Tricomi-type equations, Z. angew. Math. Phys. 58 612645 (2007)

[31] K. Yagdjian, Integral transform approach to generalized Tricomi equations, J. Differential Equations 259, no. 11, 5927-5981 (2015)

[32] B. Yordanov, Q. S. Zhang, *Finite time blow up for critical wave equations in high dimensions*, J. Funct. Anal. 231, 361-374 (2006)

[33] Yi Zhou, *Cauchy problem for semilinear wave equations in four space dimensions with small initial data*, J. Differential Equations 8, 135-144 (1995)